
US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMEN



FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure catego Congressional Just	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M- tification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the El	easure); SG (Sta PA FY 2011-15	te Grant Measu Strategic Plan,	re); KPI (Key Perfor submitted to Congre	mance Indicator); Al ess in September 2010	RRA (Recovery).	Act Measure)	LT (Long Terr	m Budget Meas	sure), and I (Indi	icator Measure). ** FY 2013	Budget Target i	s from 4-year p	erformance me	asure table in t	he FY 2013
Subobjective 2	2.1.1 Water Safe to Drink																
SDW-211	Percent of the population served by community water systems that receive drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards through approaches including effective treatment and source water protection.	OMB PA BUD SG ARRA	92%	92%	89.6%	89%	78%	90%	92%	94%	85%	80%	91%	95%	92%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			91%	89.4%	89%	78%	90%	92%	94%	85%	80%	91%	95%	91%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			93.2%	93.2%	91%	84%	89%	96%	96%	91%	92%	94%	97%	97%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			91%	89.8%	89%	76%	90%	93%	93%	87%	85%	91%	95%	91%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			91.4%	91.4%	91.3%	82.4%	96.6%	94.2%	93.2%	90.3%	81.6%	93.2%	96%	92.2%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			92%	92%	92%	79%	89.9%	93.7%	95.4%	89.7%	94.1%	95.8%	96.9%	96.4%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			89%	89%	92.5%	55.3% 32.2	93.2% 25.7	93%	94.1% 43.5	87.8%	91.2%	94.7%	94.6%	94.8%		
	FY 2011 UNIVERSE (in millions) National Program Manager Comments	The universe	roprocents th	299.9	299.9	15			58.6		38.1	12.0	10.9	52.0	11.5	EVII bud	rot torgot
	National Frogram Manager Comments		represents ti	ie population ser	ved by community	water syster	iis. The Ivau	Juai Commin	illelit ioi F i	i i is iligilei ii	lan me regio	nai aggregan	Communici	to be consi	Stellt with the	FITT budg	et target.
SDW- SP1.N11	Percent of community water systems that meet all applicable health-based standards through approaches that include effective treatment and source water protection.	OMB PA BUD SG SP	90%	90%	87.6%	83%	83%	87%	90%	93%	85%	85%	88%	88%	88%		90%
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			90%	87.8%	83%	83%	87%	90.5%	93%	85%	85%	90%	88%	88%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			90.7%	90.7%	85%	87%	93%	94%	94%	90%	88%	90%	88%	91%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			88%	87.8%	83%	83%	87%	90%	91%	86%	87%	90%	88%	88%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			89.6%	89.6%	84.8%	85%	91%	91.7%	93.9%	88.8%	87.2%	89.4%	87.8%	89.6%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			89.1% 89%	89.1% 89%	85.7% 85.7%	86% 86.4%	90.7% 91.8%	90.9%	93% 92%	87.8% 86.2%	87.5% 86.8%	90%	87.9% 91.6%	88% 87.3%	\vdash	
	FY 2005 BASELINE FY 2011 UNIVERSE			52,079	52,079	2,735	3,733	4,476	8,891	7,369	86.2%	4,128	3,281	4,646	4,462		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	e starting in I		32,079	2,733	3,733	4,470	0,071	7,309	0,330	4,120	3,201	4,040	4,402	<u>. </u>	
SDW-SP2	Percent of "person months" (i.e. all persons served by community water systems times 12 months) during which community water systems provide drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards.	OMB PA BUD KPI	95%	95%	94.1%	94%	90%	91%	95%	96%	94%	90%	95%	98%	95%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			95%	94.1%	94%	90%	91%	95%	96%	94%	90%	95%	98%	95%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			97.4%	97.4%	97%	95%	96%	98%	98%	96%	97%	97%	99%	99%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			95% 96.7%	94.9% 96.7%	94% 98%	90%	95% 91%	96% 98.3%	96% 96.6%	94% 96.6%	94%	95% 98%	98% 98.6%	95% 98.4%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			97.2%	97.2%	97.5%	93.3%	96.9%	98.3%	97.8%	96.6%	98.2%	98%	98.6%	98.4%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			97%	97%	96%	92%	99%	98%	96%	97%	98%	99%	97%	98%		
	FY 2011 UNIVERSE (in millions)			3.59	3.59	180.9	387.3	308.4	703.7	521.4	458.2	144.9	131	624	138.0		
	National Program Manager Comments	Indicator me	asure in FY0														
SDW- SP3.N11	Percent of the population in Indian country served by community water systems that receive drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards.	BUD KPI SP	87%	87%	79.8%	90%	90%	n/a	90%	98%	78%	80%	87%	70%	87%		88%
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			87%	79.9%	90%	90%	n/a	90%	98%	78%	80%	87%	70%	87%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			81.2%	81.2%	100%	50%	n/a	97%	99%	87%	87%	86%	70%	87%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			80% 87.2%	79.6% 87.2%	95% 100%	50% 100%	n/a	90% 100%	95% 97.1%	80% 89.9%	80% 83.3%	87% 90%	70% 80%	87% 85.5%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			81.2%	81.2%	99.9%	99.6%	n/a n/a	100%	99.3%	87.2%	83.3%	90%	68.1%	87.2%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			86%	86%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	99.5%	90.4%	86.5%	82.6%	80.9%	88.1%		
	FY 2011 UNIVERSE			918,668	918,668	90,594	11,071	n/a	25,532	114,800	77,740	5,394	94,153	446,179	53,205		
	National Program Manager Comments	The universe	represents th	ne population in I	ndian country ser	ved by comm	unity water	systems.									
SDW-SP4a	Percent of community water systems where risk to public health is minimized through source water protection.	OMB PA BUD	LT	50%	39.9%	66%	61%	40%	56%	39%	38%	9%	39%	10%	40%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			40%	39.2% 40.2%	66%	61% 61%	33% 35%	53% 52%	39% 40%	40% 40.9%	9% 12%	39% 45%	10% 9%	40% 42%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			40.2% 36.4%	40.2% 36.4%	64%	61%	25%	52%	38%	40.9%	15%	45%	9%	42%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			37%	37%	65.8%	61%	29%	38%	38.8%	40%	9%	38.6%	8%	40%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			35%	35%	64%	60%	27%	38%	38%	38%	9%	38%	8%	38%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			20%	20%	51%	30%	12%	21%	19%	19%	13%	20%	1%	28%		
	FY 2011 UNIVERSE			52,079	52,079	2,735	3,733	4,476	8,891	7,369	8,358	4,128	3,281	4,646	4,462		
	National Program Manager Comments	The universe	is the number	er of community	water systems.												

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categor Congressional Jus	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M stification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the E						Act Measure);	LT (Long Terr	m Budget Meas	ure), and I (Indi	icator Measure). ** FY 2013 I	Budget Target i	s from 4-year p	erformance me	asure table in t	the FY 2013
SDW-SP4b	Percent of the population served by community water systems where risk to public health is minimized through	SG	Strategie Fran,	57%	55.4%	96%	80%	69%	59%	64%	62%	20%	37%	13%	80%		
	source water protection. FY 2012 COMMITMENT			57%	55.1%	96%	80%	63%	56%	64%	62%	20%	54%	12%	80%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			55.2%	55.2%	95.9%	80%	67%	55%	66%	62.9%	23%	40%	12%	84%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			52.3%	52.3%	93%	80%	58%	55%	62%	62%	20%	40%	12%	82%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			52%	52%	95.7%	80%	63%	46%	62%	63%	22%	51.8%	11%	85%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			54% 48%	54% 48%	93% 95%	80% 81%	63% 57%	51% 40%	65% 64%	63% 44%	15% 16%	37% 35%	12% 12%	82% 71%		
	FY 2011 UNIVERSE (in millions)			299.9	299.9	15	32.2	25.7	58.6	43.5	38.1	12.0	10.9	52.0	11.5		
	National Program Manager Comments			nsure starting in F'	Y08. Note: "Mini	mized risk" i										ategy. The	universe is
SDW-18.N11	Number of American Indian and Alaska Native homes provided access to safe drinking water in coordination with other federal agencies.	SP BUD	LT	119,000												119,000	136,100
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			110,000												110,000	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			97,311												97,311	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			100,700												100,700	
	FY 2009 BASELINE UNIVERSE			809,000 360,000												809,000 360,000	
	National Program Manager Comments	New measur	e for FY11.	to supplement SD	W-SP5 in the NV	I VPG and repl	L ace SDW-SF	L 25 in the new	Strategic Pla	n.						300,000	
SDW-01a	Percent of community water systems (CWSs) that have undergone a sanitary survey within the past three years (five years for outstanding performers) as required under the Interim Enhanced and Long-Term I Surface Water Treatment Rules.	OMB PA BUD SG	95%	95%	88.6%	90%	95%	91%	93%	93%	91%	87%	90%	70%	70%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			95%	88%	90%	95%	91%	90%	93%	93%	87%	90%	70%	75%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			91.6%	91.6%	96.7%	96%	95.8%	96.3%	94.7%	93.6%	90%	97.9%	70%	71%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			88%	88%	90.0%	95.0%	91.0%	87.0%	91.0%	93.0%	87.0%	95.0%	70.0%	75.0%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			87%	87%	99% 99%	95% 95%	93.7% 93.2%	90% 87%	95.5% 92.9%	78% 92%	94% 91%	92% 90%	68% 67%	64% 80%		
	FY 2009 END OF TEAR RESULT FY 2009 UNIVERSE			88% 11,038	88% 11,038	479	1,019	1,215	1,750	1,356	2,109	780	808	936	586		
	National Program Manager Comments	Prior to FY0	7, this measi	ure tracked states,					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,							
SDW-01b	Number of tribal community water systems (CWSs) that have undergone a sanitary survey within the past three years (five years for outstanding performers) as required under the Interim Enhanced and Long-Term I Surface Water Treatment Rule.			79	79	2	2	n/a	1	2	8	1	25	30	8		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			76	76	2	2	n/a	1	2	8	1	25	27	8		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			74 65	74 65	2	2	n/a n/a	1	2	9	1	24 15	22 25	11 8		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			63	63	2	2	n/a	1	2	7	1	15	25	8		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			63	63	2	2	n/a	1	2	9	1	13	25	8		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			22	22	n/a	1	n/a	1	2	1	1	0	9	7		
	FY 2009 UNIVERSE			78	78	2	2	n/a	1	2	8	1	25	27	10		
	National Program Manager Comments	A sanitary su distributing s		n-site review of th water.	e water sources, f	acilities, equi	pment, opera	ation, and ma	aintenance of	a public wat	er system for	the purpose	of evaluating	g the adequa	cy of the faci	lities for pro	oducing and
	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan		are drinking	water.													
SDW-04	agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF).	OMB PA BUD ARRA	89%	89%	89.6%	90%	90%	89%	85%	95%	86%	85%	90%	87%	95%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			90.7%	90.7%	90%	90%	86%	90%	95%	85%	85%	90%	86%	98%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			90%	90%	92%	94%	96%	88%	87.1%	87%	85%	89%	87%	101%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			87.7% 91.3%	87.7% 91.3%	90%	90% 98%	86% 102%	90%	80% 93.2%	89% 99%	95% 109%	90% 91.9%	85% 85%	92% 104.6%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			91.5%	91.5%	94%	90%	95%	95%	79%	93%	99%	93%	83%	86%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			84.7%	84.7%	78.5%	93%	83.3%	88%	87%	64.5%	91%	84%	80%	94.3%		
	UNIVERSE (FY 2007, in millions)			\$14,419.7	\$14,419.7	\$1,378.1	\$2,686.4	\$832.3	\$1,527.6	\$2,812.2	\$1,283.7	\$978.8	\$1,006.8	\$1,321.7	\$592.1		
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe rep	resents the f	unds available for	projects for the I	WSRF throu	gh 2007, in	millions of d	ollars (i.e., th	e denominato	or of the mea	sure).					,
SDW-05	Number of Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) projects that have initiated operations. (cumulative)	OMB PA ARRA		6,976	6,380	820	435	585	765	1,210	262	633	760	360	550		

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure catego Congressional Ju-	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M stification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the E	feasure); SG (Sta	te Grant Measi	ure); KPI (Key Perfor	mance Indicator); Al	RRA (Recovery	Act Measure);	LT (Long Terr	m Budget Meas	ure), and I (Ind	icator Measure). ** FY 2013 l	Budget Target	is from 4-year p	erformance me	asure table in t	the FY 2013
Congressional Ju	FY 2012 COMMITMENT	2PA F1 2011-13	Strategic Pian,	6,080	6,074	795	422	530	625	1,140	254	608	740	360	600		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			6,076	6,076	799	448	575	714	1,250	227	583	726	308	446		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			5,590	5,590	624	416	482	681	1,230	235	542	550	330	500		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			5,236	5,236 4,576	735 564	410 396	500 464	599 564	1,066 936	192 160	480 427	591 479	261 225	402 361		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE			4,576 2,611	2,611	320	311	261	369	557	59	229	242	123	140		
SDW-07	Percent of Classes I, II and Class III salt solution mining wells that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water.	OMB PA BUD SG	90%	90%	84.0%	n/a	90%	70%	75%	59%	90%	75%	80%	60%	75%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			90%	84%	n/a	90%	70%	75%	57%	90%	75%	80%	90%	75%		
	FY 2010 UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments	deep wells as	nd there are	2,512 of mechanical integrany more Class attor of the measure	II wells that lose i												
SDW-08	Number of Class V motor vehicle waste disposal wells (MVWDW) and large capacity cesspools (LCC) that are closed or permitted (cumulative).	OMB PA BUD	24,327	24,327	23,671	1,314	430	3,800	109	4,322	272	378	2,346	3,500	7,200		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			22,853	22,853	1,309	430	3,700	108	4,110	272	378	2,346	3,000	7,200		
	National Program Manager Comments	high priority	wells includ	2. The measure in ing, at minimum, vells, for the MVV	Large Capacity C	ess (LCC) Po	ols. Reportir	ng in percent	ages will not								
SDW-11	Percent of DWSRF projects awarded to small PWS serving <500, 501-3,300, and 3,301-10,000 consumers.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			71%		65%	68%	78%	58%	71%	58%	83%	82%	65%	77%		
	FY 2009 BASELINE UNIVERSE			72% 698		72% 138	75% 44	70% 56	30% 43	72% 126	76% 33	80% 70	87% 87	81% 26	80% 75		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measur	e starting in														
SDW-15	Number and percent of small CWS and NTNCWS (<500, 501-3,300, 3,301-10,000) with repeat health based Nitrate/Nitrite, Stage 1 D/DBP, SWTR and TCR violations.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,337 2.1%		112 3%	184 4%	109 2%	127 1%	85 1%	243 3%	172 4%	71 2%	133 2%	101 2%		
	FY 2009 BASELINE (CWS & NTNCWS <10,000 w/ repeat Health-Based Viols)			1,904		164	208	113	218	102	394	288	91	154	172		
	,			3%		4%	4%	2%	2%	1%	4%	6%	2%	3%	3%		
	UNIVERSE (CWS & NTNCWS<10,000) National Program Manager Comments	New measur	e starting in	66,156 FY11		4,478	5,189	6,751	9,840	11,261	9,082	4,562	3,690	5,877	5,426		
SDW-17	Number and percent of schools and childcare centers that	I	c starting in	Indicator													
	meet all health-based drinking water standards. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			7,114		1,017	708	1,188	647	1,872	334	195	236	505	412		
	FY 2009 BASELINE			92% 7,260		89% 1,057	95% 705	92% 1,179	92% 688	94% 1,933	93% 329	89% 197	93% 224	89% 523	92% 425		
				94%		92%	95%	96%	95%	95%	95%	89%	94%	90%	97%		
	UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments	New measur	e starting in	7,664 FV11		1,146	740	1,228	724	2,002	345	222	239	578	440		
SDW-19a	Volume of CO2 sequestered through injection as defined by the UIC Final Rule.	I	c starting in	Indicator													
SDW-19b	Number of permit decisions during the reporting period that result in CO2 sequestered through injection as defined by the UIC Final Rule.	I		Indicator													
_	Percent of women of childbearing age having mercury	BUD															
FS-SP6.N11	levels in blood above the level of concern. FY 2012 COMMITMENT	SP	4.9%	2.5%												2.5%	4.6%
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			4.9% n/a												4.9% n/a	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			4.9%												4.9%	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a												n/a	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			2.8%												2.8%	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			5.7%												5.7%	

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categor Congressional Jus	ies include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Me tification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the El	easure); SG (Stat PA FY 2011-15	te Grant Measi Strategic Plan.	ure); KPI (Key Perfor submitted to Congre	mance Indicator); AR ss in September 2010	RRA (Recovery	Act Measure)	; LT (Long Tern	n Budget Meas	ure), and I (Indi	cator Measure). ** FY 2013 I	Budget Target i	s from 4-year p	erformance me	asure table in t	he FY 2013
Congressional year	National Program Manager Comments	New measure			ss in september 2010												
FS-1a	Percent of river miles where fish tissue were assessed to support waterbody-specific or regional consumption advisories or a determination that no consumption advice is necessary. (Great Lakes measured separately; Alaska not included) (Report every two years)	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			260/													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			36% n/a													
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			39%													
	FY 2005 BASELINE			24% (840,000)													
	UNIVERSE			100%													
	National Program Manager Comments	The FY11 E0	OY result is	based on data from	n 2009-2010.	ı						ı			ı		
	Percent of lake acres where fish tissue were assessed to support waterbody-specific or regional consumption advisories or a determination that no consumption advice is necessary. (Great Lakes measured separately; Alaska not included) (Report every two years)	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			42%													
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a 43%													
	FY 2005 BASELINE			35% (14M)													
	UNIVERSE			100% (40M)													
	National Program Manager Comments	The FY11 E0	OY result is	based on data from	n 2009-2010.					•	•	•		•	•	-	
Subobjective 2	.1.3 Water Safe for Swimming																
	Percent of days of the beach season that coastal and Great Lakes beaches monitored by state beach safety programs are open and safe for swimming.	SG SP		95%	91.9%	98%	95%	95%	92%	90%	80%	n/a	n/a	90%	95%		95%
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			95%	92%	98%	95%	95%	92%	88%	80%	n/a	n/a	90%	95%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			96%	96%	97.7%	98%	97.3%	97.7%	92%	91%	n/a	n/a	93%	99%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			91% 95%	91% 95%	98% 97.2%	95% 97%	95% 98.2%	92% 97.7%	88% 94%	80% 91%	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	86% 93.1%	95% 95%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			95%	95%	n/a	98%	99.2%	96.8%	93.7%	82%	n/a	n/a	93%	98%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			96%	96%	98%	97.2%	98.5%	96.3%	95.5%	93%	n/a	n/a	95.3%	92.8%		
	FY 2010 UNIVERSE			752,683	752,683	86,226	90,834	17,861	184,609	50,064	28,146	n/a	n/a	282,149	12,794		
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe cha	nges annual	ly. Universe equa	ls the total number	r of beach se	ason days as	sociated with	the swimmi	ng seasons of	monitored b	eaches.					
SS-1	Number and national percent, using a constant denominator, of Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) permits with a schedule incorporated into an appropriate enforceable mechanism, including a permit or enforcement order, with specific dates and milestones, including a completion date consistent with Agency guidance, which requires: 1) Implementation of a Long Term Control Plan (LTCP) which will result in compliance with the technology and water quality-based requirements of the Clean Water Act; or 2) implementation of any other acceptable CSO control measures consistent with the 1994 CSO Control Policy; or 3) completion of separation after the baseline date. (cumulative)			773 90.6%	773	76	75	228	18	333	n/a	24	1	3	15		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			752 (88%)	752	76	74	227	18	315	n/a	23	1	3	15		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			734 (86%) 736 (86%)	734 736	76 76	72 72	224 225	18 18	305 304	n/a n/a	20	1	3	15 15		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			736 (86%)	736	76	72	225	17	303	n/a n/a	18	1	3	15		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			693 (81%)	693	76	67	206	17	294	n/a	14	1	3	15		
	FY 2008 BASELINE			536 (63%)	536 (63%)	75(91%)	51(48%)	175(74%)	9(38%)	200(55%)	n/a	7(29%)	1(100%)	3(100%)	15(100%)		
	UNIVERSE			853	853	82	106	236	24	362	n/a	24	1	3	15		
	National Program Manager Comments			Beginning in F comparison with f													
SS-2	Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH Act program.	SG		100%	97.5%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	85%	95%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			95% 100%	97% 100%	100%	100%	100%	100% 100%	100%	100%	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	85% 100%	93% 100%		

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categor Congressional Jus	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M- tification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the El	easure); SG (Star PA FY 2011-15	te Grant Meas Strategic Plan	ure); KPI (Key Perfor submitted to Congre	mance Indicator); AF ss in September 2010	RRA (Recovery	Act Measure)	LT (Long Terr	m Budget Meas	ure), and I (Ind	icator Measure). ** FY 2013 l	Budget Target i	s from 4-year p	erformance me	asure table in t	he FY 2013
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT	11111201119	Diracegie i ian,	97%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	n/a	n/a	85%	93%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			99.1%	99.1%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	93%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			97.6%	97.6%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	81%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			96.5%	96.5%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	n/a	n/a	100%	80%		
	FY 2010 UNIVERSE			2,171	2,171	130	394	84	472	354	77	n/a	n/a	586	74		
	National Program Manager Comments	States may cl	hange their o	designation of bea	ches at any time.	Therefore, th	nese numbers	may change	from year to	year. Unive	erse equals th	ne total numb	per of Tier 1	beaches.			
Subobjective 2	2.2.1 Improve Water Quality on a Watershed Basis																
WQ- SP10.N11	Number of waterbodies identified in 2002 as not attaining water quality standards where standards are now fully attained. (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD SG, KPI ARRA, SP	3,524	3,524	3,413	152	176	600	524	665	220	394	316	109	257		3,360
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			3,324	3,324	140	171	575	514	665	200	383	314	109	253		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			3,119 2,973	3,119 2,973	117 117	127 127	557 555	504 504	646 640	190 190	353 302	270 270	105 72	250 196		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,973	2,973	101	127	555	495	630	182	295	270	72	196		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,505	2,505	84	113	431	418	537	170	289	222	51	194		
	FY 2002 UNIVERSE			39,503	39,503	6,710	1,805	8,998	5,274	4,550	1,407	2,036	1,274	1,041	6,408		
	National Program Manager Comments	WQ-SP10.N	11 differs fr	om previous Meas		_											
WQ-SP11	Remove the specific causes of waterbody impairment	BUD	10,711	10.711	10,427	455	562	1,935	1.210	3,205	630	638	611	653	528		
WQ-SPI1	identified by states in 2002. (cumulative)	BUD	10,711	10,711	10,427	455	302	1,935	1,210	3,203	630	038	011	655	328		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			10,161	10,161	420	554	1,835	1,160	3,205	615	623	607	619	523		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			9,527	9,527	369	456	1,814	1,110	2,973	595	550	541	600	519		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			9,016	9,016	339	456	1,725	1,110	3,205	420	341	541	419	460		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			8,446	8,446	320	453	1,703	1,018	2,796	412	340	529	419	456		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT UNIVERSE			7,530 69,677	7,530 69.677	224 8,826	384 2,567	1,403 13,958	912	2,666 10,155	395 3,005	324 4,391	465 3,502	310 2,742	447 11,157		
	National Program Manager Comments	The EDA wil	l raviaw tha	FY12 budget targ					7,374	10,133	3,003	4,371	3,302	2,742	11,137		
WQ- SP12.N11	Improve water quality conditions in impaired watersheds nationwide using the watershed approach. (cumulative)	BUD SP	352	355	355	9	25	21	62	35	45	9	43	31	75		330
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			312	312	8	24	20	56	30	45	8	37	30	54		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			271	271	6	23	18	48	23	38	7	31	28	49		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			208	208	6	23	18	48	23	28	7	24	17	14		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			168	168	5	22	16	40	20	17	5	20	15	8		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			104	104	4	14	12	32	10	9	4	17	0	2		
	UNIVERSE			4,767	4,767	246	300	300	2,000	378	213	169	684	27	450		
	National Program Manager Comments	The EPA wil	l review the	FY12 budget targ	et when preparing	the FY13 b	udget submi	ssion.				I			1		
WQ- SP13.N11	Ensure that the condition of the Nation's streams does not degrade (i.e., there is no statistically significant increase in the percent of streams rated "poor" and no statistically significant decrease in the streams rated "good").	OMB PA SP	LT	Deferred for FY 2013												Deferred for FY 2013	Maintain or improve stream conditions
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Maintain or improve stream conditions												Maintain or improve	
	FY 2006 BASELINE			28% good; 25% fair; 42% poor												28%; 25%; 42%	
	National Program Manager Comments	The Wadeab	le Streams S	urvey will be upd	ated in 2011. The	re will be no	reporting or	n this measur	e until 2012.	In FY15, EI	PA will be re	porting on th	e Lakes Sur	vey.			
WQ- SP14a.N11	Improve water quality in Indian country at baseline monitoring stations in tribal waters (i.e., show improvement in one or more of seven key parameters: dissolved oxygen, pH, water temperature, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, pathogen indicators, and turbidity). (cumulative)	SP OMB PA BUD	LT	20	20	2	n/a	n/a	1	2	1	1	2	8	3		50
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			13	13	1	n/a	n/a	1	2	1	n/a	2	4	2		
	UNIVERSE			1,729	1,729	160	14	n/a	37	729	68	150	100	203	268		
				185	185	14	n/a	n/a	2	44	1	4	10	43	67		
	National Program Manager Comments	185 the nu	mber or mo	imbers: 1,729 t nitoring stations (of how improvement	out of the 1,729) t	hat are locate	ed on waters	that have a p	otential for i								

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure catego Congressional Jus	ories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the El						Act Measure);	LT (Long Terr	n Budget Meas	ure), and I (Indi	icator Measure). ** FY 2013 l	Budget Target	is from 4-year p	performance me	asure table in t	the FY 2013
WQ- SP14b.N11	Identify monitoring stations on tribal lands that are showing no degradation in water quality (meaning the waters are meeting uses). (cumulative)	SP I		Indicator												Indicator	
	UNIVERSE			1,729 185		160 14	14 n/a	n/a n/a	37 2	729 44	68	150 4	100 10	203 43	268 67		
WQ-24.N11	Number of American Indian and Alaska Native homes provided access to basic sanitation in coordination with other federal agencies.	SP BUD	LT	67,600					_		-	·				67,600	67,900
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			56,400												56,400	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			56,875 52,300												56,875 52,300	
	FY 2009 BASELINE			43,600												43,600	
	UNIVERSE			360,000												360,000	
	National Program Manager Comments	result met an	d exceeded	o supplement WQ both the FY11 and lative measure.													
WQ-01a	Number of numeric water quality standards for total nitrogen and for total phosphorus adopted by states and territories and approved by EPA, or promulgated by EPA, for all waters within the state or territory for each of the following waterbody types: lakes/reservoirs, rivers/streams, and estuaries (cumulative, out of a universe of 280).	SG		47	46	1	7	5	6	4	n/a	1	n/a	22	n/a		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			41	41	1	7	4	4	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	22	n/a		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			45 49	45 46	1	7	5	6	4	n/a n/a	0	n/a n/a	22	n/a n/a		
	FY 2010 BASELINE			31	31	3	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	22	0		
	UNIVERSE			280	280	34	20	34	44	24	24	16	24	38	22		
	National Program Manager Comments	Some of the	2011 results	may not fully qua	dify and are under	review. Nee	ded adjustm	ents are being	g made in 20	12.	ı	1		1		ı	
WQ-26	Number of states and territories implementing nutrient reduction strategies by (1) setting priorities on a watershed or state-wide basis, (2) establishing nutrient reduction targets, and (3) continuing to make progress (and provide performance milestone information to EPA) on adoption of numeric nutrient criteria for at least one	SG		20					TBD		TBD	TBD					
	class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative)			20		TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD		
	class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative) National Program Manager Comments	Measure is n	ew starting l	FY 2013. Regiona	l targets are unde			TBD	TDD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD		
WQ-02	class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative) National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative)	Measure is n	ew starting l	FY 2013. Regiona 43	40	rdevelopmeni n/a	:. 1	n/a	2	5	10	n/a	3	8	11		
WQ-02	class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative) National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT	Measure is n	ew starting l	FY 2013. Regiona 43 39	40 39	n/a	1 1	n/a n/a	2 2	5	10	n/a n/a	3 3	8 8	11 10		
WQ-02	class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative) National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative)	Measure is n	ew starting l	FY 2013. Regiona 43	40	rdevelopmeni n/a	:. 1	n/a	2	5	10	n/a	3	8	11		
WQ-02	class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative) National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT	Measure is n	ew starting l	FY 2013. Regiona 43 39 38 39 37	40 39 38 39 37	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	1 1 1 1 1	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	2 2 2 2 2 2	5 5 5 5 4	10 10 10 10 10	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	3 3 2 3 2	8 8 8 8	11 10 10 10 10		
WQ-02	class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative) National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	Measure is n	ew starting l	FY 2013. Regiona 43 39 38 39 37 35	40 39 38 39 37 35	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	1 1 1 1 1 1	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	5 5 5 5 4 3	10 10 10 10 10 10	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	3 3 2 3 2 2	8 8 8 8 8 7	11 10 10 10 10		
WQ-02	class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative) National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE	Measure is n	ew starting l	FY 2013. Regiona 43 39 38 39 37 35 26	39 38 39 37 35 26	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 0	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	5 5 5 5 4 3 2	10 10 10 10 10 10 9	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a 0	3 3 2 3 2 2 2	8 8 8 8 8 7	11 10 10 10 10 10 8		
WQ-02	class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative) National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT EV 2005 END OF YEAR RESULT UNIVERSE			FY 2013. Regiona 43 39 38 39 37 37 35 26 60	39 38 39 37 35 26 60	n/a	1 1 1 1 1 1 0	n/a	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	5 5 5 4 3 2 5	10 10 10 10 10 10 9	n/a	3 2 3 2 2 2 2 6	8 8 8 8 7 3 21	11 10 10 10 10 10 8 14	2007).	
WQ-02	class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative) National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other	Universe refi		FY 2013. Regiona 43 39 38 39 37 35 26 60 rally recognized T	40 39 38 39 37 35 26 60 ribes who have ap	n/a	1 1 1 1 1 1 0	n/a	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	5 5 5 4 3 2 5	10 10 10 10 10 10 9	n/a	3 2 3 2 2 2 2 6	8 8 8 8 7 3 21	11 10 10 10 10 10 8 14	2007).	
	class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative) National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards.	Universe refl OMB PA BUD	ects all fede	FY 2013. Regiona 43 39 38 39 37 35 26 60 rally recognized T	40 39 38 39 37 35 26 60 ribes who have ap	n/a		n/a	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	5 5 5 4 3 2 5 (TAS) to ad	10 10 10 10 10 10 9 11 minister the	n/a	3 2 3 2 2 2 2 6 standards p	8 8 8 8 7 3 21 rogram (as o	11 10 10 10 10 10 8 14 f September	2007).	
	class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative) National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards. FY 2012 COMMITMENT	Universe refl OMB PA BUD	ects all fede	FY 2013. Regiona 43 39 38 39 37 35 26 60 rally recognized T 40 71.4% 39 (68%)	40 39 38 39 37 35 26 60 ribes who have ap 37	n/a	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 teatment in th	n/a	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 6 6 6 6 5 5	5 5 5 5 4 3 2 2 5 5 (TAS) to add 5	10 10 10 10 10 10 9 11 11 minister the	n/a	3 3 2 3 2 2 2 2 6 6 standards p	8 8 8 8 7 3 3 21 1 rogram (as o	11 10 10 10 10 10 8 14 f September	2007).	
	class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative) National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards.	Universe refl OMB PA BUD	ects all fede	FY 2013. Regiona 43 39 38 39 37 35 26 60 rally recognized T	40 39 38 39 37 35 26 60 ribes who have ap	n/a		n/a	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	5 5 5 4 3 2 5 (TAS) to ad	10 10 10 10 10 10 9 11 minister the	n/a	3 2 3 2 2 2 2 6 standards p	8 8 8 8 7 3 21 rogram (as o	11 10 10 10 10 10 8 14 f September	2007).	
	class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative) National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards. FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT	Universe refl OMB PA BUD	ects all fede	FY 2013. Regiona 43 39 38 39 37 35 26 60 rally recognized T 40 71.4% 39 (68%) 39 38 (68%)	40 39 38 39 37 35 26 60 ribes who have ap 37 66% 39 39 38	n/a	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 teatment in th	n/a	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	5 5 5 5 4 3 2 2 5 5 (TAS) to add 5 5 6 6 5 5 6	10 10 10 10 10 9 11 11 minister the	n/a	3 3 2 2 3 2 2 2 2 6 5 standards p	8 8 8 8 7 3 3 21 1 rogram (as or 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 3	11 10 10 10 10 10 8 8 14 f September 3 3 2 2 1 1	2007).	
	class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative) National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2016 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards. FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	Universe refl OMB PA BUD	ects all fede	FY 2013. Regiona 43 39 38 39 37 35 26 60 rally recognized T 40 71.4% 39 (68%) 39 38 (68%) 39 38 (58%)	40 39 38 39 37 35 26 60 ribes who have ap 37 66% 39 39 38 (68%) 38 35	n/a	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	n/a	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 6 6 6 5 5 5 8 8 8 8 6 6 6	5 5 5 5 4 3 2 2 5 5 (TAS) to ad 5 5 6 6 5 6 4 4	10 10 10 10 10 10 9 11 minister the	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a 0 n/a 3 3 4 3 3 3	3 3 2 2 3 2 2 6 6 cy standards p 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 6 6	8 8 8 8 8 7 7 3 21 crogram (as o	11 10 10 10 10 10 8 14 f September 3 3 2 2 2 1 1	2007).	
	class of waters by no later than 2016. (cumulative) National Program Manager Comments Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards. FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT	Universe refl OMB PA BUD	ects all fede	FY 2013. Regiona 43 39 38 39 37 35 26 60 rally recognized T 40 71.4% 39 (68%) 39 38 (68%)	40 39 38 39 37 35 26 60 ribes who have ap 37 66% 39 39 38	n/a	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 teatment in th	n/a	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	5 5 5 5 4 3 2 2 5 5 (TAS) to add 5 5 6 6 5 5 6	10 10 10 10 10 9 11 11 minister the	n/a	3 3 2 2 3 2 2 2 2 6 5 standards p	8 8 8 8 7 3 3 21 1 rogram (as or 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 3	11 10 10 10 10 10 8 8 14 f September 3 3 2 2 1 1	2007).	

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure catego Congressional Just	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M tification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the El	leasure); SG (Sta	te Grant Meas	ure); KPI (Key Perfor	mance Indicator); Al	RRA (Recovery	Act Measure);	LT (Long Terr	n Budget Meas	ure), and I (Ind	icator Measure). ** FY 2013 l	Budget Target i	is from 4-year p	erformance me	asure table in	the FY 2013
Congressional Ju		FATT 2011-13	Strategic Fran	, sublifitied to Coligie	ss in September 2010	J.		ĺ			I		I			1	
	Number, and national percent of Tribes that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised																
WQ-03b	water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new			14	13	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	n/a	n/a	1	3	3		
	scientific information from EPA or other resources not																
	considered in the previous standards.			38%	35%												
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			14 (38%)	14	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	1	n/a	1	3	3		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			13	13	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	1	n/a	0	4	2		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			13 (37%)	13 (37%)	n/a	1	n/a	2	2	1	n/a	1	4	2		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			16	16	n/a	1	n/a	2	2	3	n/a	0	6	2		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			17	17	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	5	n/a 0	2 2	0	3		
	FY 2005 BASELINE FY 2008 UNIVERSE			12 (40%) 37	12 37	n/a 0	n/a	n/a n/a	2	5	10	0	2	8	9		
	National Program Manager Comments	The universe	for EV11 a	nd FY12 percenta			-		_	-		-		-			
			10111114	na i i iz percenta	ges for TVQ-30 is	the number (7 authorized	uiocs uiat ii	ave at least i	nuai Li i i ap	proved water	quanty stan	dards as or b	Cptember 20	10.		
WQ-04a	Percentage of submissions of new or revised water quality standards from States and Territories that are approved by EPA.		87%	87%	73.9%	75%	n/a	75%	87%	83%	75%	50%	79%	75%	66%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			85%	85%	75%	75%	75%	87%	85%	75%	50%	79%	75%	66%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			91%	91%	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%	76%	63.1%	91.5%	100%	100%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			85%	85%	75% 98%	85% 100%	90% 100%	87% 96.7%	75% 99%	75% 100%	50% 47.2%	79%	75% 100%	50%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			90.9% 93.2%	90%	75%	100%	83%	100%	100%	91.7%	55%	79.6% 96.7%	97%	77.8% 50%		
	FY 2008 UNIVERSE			54	54	1	10070	3	100%	100%	16	2	3	6	2.		
		Based on sul	missions re	ceived in the 12 m		_	f the fiscal ve								_	nased on nu	mber of
	National Program Manager Comments	water quality			F	1		I	1		1	I	1	1	J	I	1
	Number of Tribes that currently receive funding under																
WQ-06a	Section 106 of the Clean Water Act that have developed			222	222	6	1	n/a	2	33	30	6	19	86	39		
WQ-06a	and begun implementing monitoring strategies that are appropriate to their water quality program consistent with			222	222	0	1	11/4	2	33	30	0	19	80	39		
	EPA Guidance. (cumulative)																
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			213	213	6	1	n/a	2	32	30	5	19	80	38		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			196	196	6	1	n/a	2	32	20	4	19	75	37		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			176	176	6	1	n/a	2	32	20	4	19	55	37		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			161	161	6	1	n/a	2	29	14	3	19	50	37		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			134	134	6	0	n/a	1	29	14	2	19	30	33		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	UNIVERSE			261	261	7	1	n/a	5	33	45	7	23	101	39		
	National Program Manager Comments	A cumulative	e measure th	at counts tribes th	at have developed	l, submitted t	o the Region	, and begun	implementin	g water moni	toring strates	gies that are o	consistent wi	th the EPA 1	06 Tribal Gu	idance.	1
WQ-06b	Number of Tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system. (cumulative)			191	191	4	1	n/a	2	25	30	4	21	75	29		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			178	178	4	1	n/a	2	23	28	4	21	70	25		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			171	171	4	1	n/a	1	22	28	3	21	66	25		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			130 107	130 107	4	1	n/a	2	22	10	3	21	45 30	22 16		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			86	86	1	1	n/a n/a	1	20	7	1	21	20	14		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			3	3	0	0	n/a n/a	0	0	2	0	1	0	0		
	UNIVERSE			261	261	7	1	n/a	5	33	45	7	23	101	39		
	National Program Manager Comments	A cumulative	e measure th	at counts tribes th		urface water	data electror		rmat that is c	ompatible w	ith the STOR	ET/WQX sy					
	Number, and national percent, of TMDLs that are																
	established or approved by EPA [Total TMDLs] on a										1						
	schedule consistent with national policy.	OMB PA															
WQ-08a	Note: A TMDI is a technical alor for and decimal 11	RUD	54,773	2,555	1,683	140	0	244	229	325	154	101	150	100	240		
	Note: A TMDL is a technical plan for reducing pollutants in order to attain water quality standards. The terms	KPI									1						
	'approved' and 'established' refer to the completion and										1						
	approval of the TMDL itself.			80%	61%	1					1						
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			2,215 (69%)	2,215	208	100	547	208	325	206	101	150	130	240		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,846	2,846	253	134	730	284	401	214	204	155	131	340		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			2,433 (76%)	2,433	205	40	750	337	325	215	106	150	65	240		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			4,951	4,951	439	112	2,823	305	437	230	124	184	82	215		
	2 2 2010 EAR OF TEAK RESOLD			147%	4,731		.12	2,323	- 505	.57	250	.24	104	32	213		

Measure esteption include: CMID PA (OMB Program Assessment): BUD (Budget Measure); NF (R (See Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure); NF (Y 2011 Stage Trans the FP Y 2011 Stage Trans the See Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure); NF (Y 2011 Stage Term Budget Target is from 4-year Congress in Septiments (P 2011 Stage); Inc. (See Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure); NF (Y 2011 Stage); Inc. (See Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure), NF (Y 2011 Stage); Inc. (See Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure); NF (Y 2011 Stage); Inc. (See Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure); NF (Y 2011 Stage); Inc. (See Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Term Budget Measure), and I (Indicator Measure); NF (Y 2011 Stage); Inc. (See Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Mills (Indicator Measure); NF (Y 2011 EV) Developed in the Performance Indicator); ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Mills (Indicator Measure); NF (Y 2011 EV) Developed in the Performance Indicator; ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Mills (Indicator Measure); NF (Y 2011 EV) Developed in the Performance Indicator; ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Mills (Indicator Measure); NF (Y 2011 EV) Developed in the Performance Indicator; ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Mills (Indicator Measure); NF (Y 2011 EV) Developed in the Performance Indicator; ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); LT (Long Mills (Indicator Measure); NF (Y 2011 EV) Developed in the Performance Indicator; ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); NF (Y 2011 EV) Developed in the Performance Indicator; ARRA (Recovery Act Measure); NF (Y 2011 EV	10	HQ Target (FY 201
FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT S.887 (162%) S.887 340 126 3.413 675 530 186 49 178 80	performance me	asure table in the FY 2013
National Program Manager Comments	310	
WQ-08b Note: A TMDL is a technical plan for reducing pollutants in order to attain water quality standards. The terms approved and 'established' refer to the completion and approval of the TMDL itself. So	ased on 80%	of pace (FY12: 2,555)
FY 2012 COMMITMENT 2,123 (67%) 2,123 208 100 530 193 325 181 101 150 100 FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT 2,482 2,482 253 134 454 255 401 195 165 155 131 FY 2011 COMMITMENT 1,999 (64%) 1,999 205 40 474 265 325 196 84 150 25 FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT 2,262 2,262 439 112 224 249 437 222 101 184 79 FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT Annual pace is the number of TMDLs needed to be consistent with national policy, i.e. generally within 8 - 13 years of listing of the water as impaired. EPA practice has been commitment to equal the Regional Aggregate as this reflects the number of TMDLs that Regions and States are able to develop. The cumulative budget target is calculated by With FY11 numbers final and adjustments made to FY12 regional universe and pace numbers in accordance with WQ-08 measure definitions, EPA will need to adjust the FY will need to adjust the FY of the FY13 budget submission. WQ-09a Estimated annual reduction in million pounds of nitrogen from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only). ESTIMATED TO SERVICE TO SERVIC	235	
FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT 2,482 2,482 253 134 454 255 401 195 165 155 131	235	
FY 2011 COMMITMENT	339	
FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT National Program Manager Comments Annual pace is the number of TMDLs needed to be consistent with national policy, i.e. generally within 8 - 13 years of listing of the water as impaired. EPA practice has been commitment to equal the Regional Aggregate as this reflects the number of TMDLs that Regions and States are able to develop. The cumulative budget target is calculated by with FY11 numbers final and adjustments made to FY12 regional universe and pace numbers in accordance with WQ-08 measure definitions, EPA will need to adjust the FY funded projects only). FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT 9,749,485 9,100,000	235	
FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT S,829 (162%) 5,829 340 126 3,413 661 530 146 49 178 76 Annual Program Manager Comments Annual Program Manager Comments Annual pace is the number of TMDLs needed to be consistent with national policy, i.e. generally within 8 - 13 years of listing of the water as impaired. EPA practice has been commitment to equal the Regional Aggregate as this reflects the number of TMDLs that Regions and States are able to develop. The cumulative budget target is calculated by With FY11 numbers final and adjustments made to FY12 regional universe and pace numbers in accordance with WQ-08 measure definitions, EPA will need to adjust the FY of the FY13 budget submission. WQ-09a Estimated annual reduction in million pounds of nitrogen from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only). FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT 9,749,485 9,100,000	215	
Annual Program Manager Comments Annual Program Annual Progr		
National Program Manager Comments commitment to equal the Regional Aggregate as this reflects the number of TMDLs that Regions and States are able to develop. The cumulative budget target is calculated by With FY11 numbers final and adjustments made to FY12 regional universe and pace numbers in accordance with WQ-08 measure definitions, EPA will need to adjust the FY with FY13 budget submission. WQ-09a from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only). FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT 9,100,000	310	
WQ-09a from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 S.5 million S.5 milli	ased on 80%	of pace (FY12: 2,550)
FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT 12,822,466 FY 2011 COMMITMENT 8,500,000 FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT 9,749,485 FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT 9,100,000 9,100,000		8.5 million
FY 2011 COMMITMENT 8,500,000 FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT 9,749,485 FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT 9,100,000		8,500,000
FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT 9,749,485 FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT 9,100,000		3/2012
FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT 9,100,000		8,500,000
		9,749,485 9,100,000
		3,700,000
National Program Manager Comments FY05 baseline for a 6 month period only. Starting with FY06, a full year of data reported. End-of-Year results are received mid-February of the following year.		2,700,000
WQ-09b Estimated annual reduction in million pounds of phosphorus from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only). OMB PA BUD 4.5 million		4.5 million
FY 2012 COMMITMENT 4,500,000		4,500,000 3/2012
F1 2011 EAD OF TEAR KESULT 4,502,800 F1 F2 2011 COMMITMENT 4,502,000 F1		4,500,000
FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT 2.575.004		2,575,004
FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT 3,500,000		3,500,000
FY 2005 BASELINE 558,000		558,000
National Program Manager Comments FY05 baseline for a 6 month period only. Starting with FY06, a full year of data reported. End-of-Year results are received mid-February of the following year.	1	
WQ-09c from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only). Estimated annual reduction in million tons of sediment of the project only in the pro		700,000
FY 2012 COMMITMENT 700,000 FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT 2.006.674		700,000 3/2012
F1 2011 EAD OF TEAR KESULT 2,000,674 FY 2011 COMMITMENT 700,000		700.000
FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT 2,054,869		2,054,869
FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT 2,300,000		2,300,000
FY 2005 BASELINE 1,680,000 1,680,000		1,680,000
National Program Manager Comments FY05 baseline for a 6 month period only. Starting with FY06, a full year of data reported. End-of-Year results are received mid-February of the following year.	1	
WQ-10 Number of waterbodies identified by States (in 1998/2000 or subsequent years) as being primarily nonpoint source (NPS)-impaired that are partially or fully restored. (cumulative) SG LT 431 431 29 18 60 66 37 32 32 28 17	112	
FY 2012 COMMITMENT 394 394 27 17 54 61 32 27 28 24 15	109	
FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT 358 358 24 15 49 57 27 26 21 20 14 FY 2011 COMMITMENT 251 251 24 15 35 56 27 19 24 19 13	105 19	
FY 2011 COMMITMENT 251 251 24 15 35 56 27 19 24 19 13 FY 2010 EDD OF YEAR RESULT 215 19 12 31 52 22 17 20 16 9	17	
FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT 147 146 6 16 36 18 11 16 13 3	12	

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categor Congressional Jus	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M stification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the El						Act Measure);	LT (Long Terr	m Budget Meas	ure), and I (Ind	icator Measure). ** FY 2013 l	Budget Target	is from 4-year p	erformance me	asure table in	the FY 2013
	FY 2005 BASELINE			15	15	1	0	2	5	3	0	4	0	0	0		
	National Program Manager Comments				estimated waterbo									t) 303(d) lists	s. Note that t	his universe	shifts each
WQ -11	Number, and national percent, of follow-up actions that are completed by assessed NPDES (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) programs. (cumulative)	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			80%	293	29	21	27	29	51	17	33	40	19	27		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			85%	253	27	21	23	27	44	17	23	28	17	26		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			77% 18%	229 54	26	18 5	22	23	40 16	17	18	27	15	23		
	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE			100%	368	6 36	27	32	41	66	23	47	39	21	36		
	UNIVERSE	D : 1	1 2													044 200	ND A IZ
	National Program Manager Comments	ID), 1 author	rized territor Permit Quali	y (VI), 3 authorize ty Reviews. Unive	ted NPDES Action ed territories (DC, erse of 372 include	PR, Pacific 1	Island Territo	ories), and 10	Regions (to	tal of 64 prog	grams) assess	sed through t	he Permittin	g for Environ	mental Resu	lts (PER) pr	rogram and
WQ-12a	Percent of non-Tribal facilities covered by NPDES permits that are considered current. [Measure will still set targets and commitments and report persons in both 0.00 at #1.10.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00	KPI		90%	88%	80%	87%	89%	85%	88%	90%	90%	80%	80%	75%		
	results in both % and #.]			106,673	100,391	1,494	2,868	16,128	15,938	16,879	24,434	8,871	4,402	2,191	7,186		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			88% 100,147	88% 100,147	80% 1,494	87% 2,868	89% 16,128	85% 15,938	88% 16,047	94%	90% 8,871	82% 4,512	80% 2,191	80% 7,665		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			89%	89%	81%	87.3%	92%	94%	86%	98%	82.4%	79%	81%	7,003		
				88.4%	88.4%	80%	87%	89%	85%	90%	94%	90%	85%	79%	80%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			100,680	100,680	1,494	2,868	16,128	15,938	16,442	24,434	8,871	4,677	2,164	7,665		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			95.4% 108,755	95.4% 108,755	86%	91%	87% 15,743	91%	88% 16,067	98%	90%	82% 4,534	84%	75% 7,216		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			90% (102,196)		81%	89%	89%	91%	88%	97%	90%	83%	84%	83%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE				87.8% (96,851)	64%	94%	86%	87%	87%	93%	82%	87%	91%	77%		
	UNIVERSE			113,943	113,943	1,867	3,297	18,121	18,750	18,235	25,994	9,857	5,502	2,739	9,581		
	National Program Manager Comments				reported in both po				udes facilities	s covered by	all permits, i	ncluding Stat	e and EPA i	ssued permit	s. Due to the	shifting uni	verse of
	D	permitees, it	s is importar	it to focus on the f	national percent. *	r i oo data n	lot from AC:). I									
WQ-12b	Percent of tribal facilities covered by NPDES permits that are considered current. [Measure will still set targets and commitments and report	:		90%	85%	0%	100%	n/a	100%	95%	80%	100%	90%	85%	60%		
	results in both % and #.]			400	350	0	2	n/a	11	42	10	16	187	43	39		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			85%	85%	0%	100%	n/a	100%	95% 43	80%	100%	90%	85% 43	60%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			351 87%	351 87%	0	100%	n/a n/a	11 100%	96%	93%	16 73.3%	187 94%	90%	55%		
				84%	84%	0%	100%	n/a	100%	95%	90%	100%	90%	85%	50%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			345	345	0	2	n/a	11	42	12	16	187	43	33		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			88%	88%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	93%	100%	94%	97%	86%	52%		
				363	363	2	2	n/a	11	41	13	15	202	43	34		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			83% (321) 85% (329)	83% (321) 85% (329)	100% (100%) 2	100%	n/a n/a	92% (100%) 13	100% (100%) 42	92% (100%) 10	100%	91% (95%) 189	76%	46% (30%) 17		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			80% (261)	80% (261)	0	2	n/a n/a	16	37	(100%) 10	(100%) 16	140	41	16		
	UNIVERSE			412	412	2	2	n/a	11	45	13	16	208	50	65		
	National Program Manager Comments			nd results will be	reported in both pe	ercent and nu	ımber. This	measure incl		s covered by		ncluding Stat		ssued permit	s. Due to the	shifting uni	verse of
WO 10	Number, and national percent, of MS-4s covered under	Î			1												
WQ-13a	either an individual or general permit.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			6,952		520	1,262	991	744	1,813	674	208	251	262	227		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			6,919		510	1,262	1,026	675	1,813	626	258	263	260	226		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			6,541		517	1,227	1,016	503	1,813	526	284	250	179	226		
	UNIVERSE	D (111)		n/a													
	National Program Manager Comments Number of facilities covered under either an individual or		exist prior to	2007 for WQ-13	a & b.			1		1						1	
WQ-13b	general industrial storm water permit.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			84,718		3,553	4,651	6,621	19,091	20,508	13,922	6,257	4,313	1,886	3,916		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			88,788		3,489	4,412	6,337	18,577	20,508	18,065	7,576	4,866	971	3,987		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			81,660		3,548	4,605	6,500	18,477	20,508	13,508	7,068	4,198	766	2,482		

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure catego Congressional Jus	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget N stification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the E	feasure); SG (Sta EPA FY 2011-15	ite Grant Meas Strategic Plan	ure); KPI (Key Perfor submitted to Congre	rmance Indicator); Al	RRA (Recovery 0.	Act Measure)	LT (Long Terr	m Budget Meas	ure), and I (Indi	icator Measure). ** FY 2013 l	Budget Target i	s from 4-year p	erformance me	asure table in	the FY 2013
9	FY 2007 BASELINE			86,826													
	UNIVERSE			100%													
	National Program Manager Comments	Data did not	exist prior t	o 2007 for WQ-13	3 a & b.												
WQ-13c	Number of sites covered under either an individual or general construction storm water site permit.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			168,744		9,127	9,955	27,974	50,835	8,172	11,643	13,931	16,019	14,512	6,576		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			186,874		11,177	5,669	28,983	54,607	7,477	24,463	13,254	10,013	23,339	7,892		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			200,732		7,704	17,671	19,317	75,311	7,738	17,403	12,480	12,444	24,069	6,595		
	FY 2007 BASELINE National Program Manager Comments	Data did not	evist prior t	242,801 o 2007 for WQ-13	30												
WQ-13d	Number of facilities covered under either an individual or general CAFO permit.	I I	exist prior t	Indicator	50.												
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			7,994		7	566	444	863	2.234	794	1.521	680	198	687		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			7,882		6	566	333	967	2,145	781	1,510	658	205	711		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			7,900		6	602	277	1,021	2,129	890	1,443	618	203	711		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			8,623		0	624	175	2,131	1,488	1,391	1,239	448	296	831		
	UNIVERSE	EVOS CAEC	doto : :	18,972	It is likely the	33	632	770	3,621	2,523	4,190	3,777	841	1,670	915		
	National Program Manager Comments Number, and national percent, of Significant Industrial	FY05 CAFC	data is not	from ACS. Note:	It is likely the reg	ions overestii	mated the nu	mber of CA	FOs covered	by a general	permit in 200)5. 					
WQ-14a	Petroatment Programs that have control mechanisms in place that implement applicable pretreatment standards	SG		20,724	20,724	1,296	1,555	1,655	3,470	4,390	1,976	980	647	4,088	667		
	and requirements.			98.0%	98.0%	94.0%	98.0%	98.0%	98.1%	100.0%	98.3%	97.1%	98.3%	97.0%	100.0%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			20,814 97.9%	20,814 97.9%	1,305	1,595	1,696	3,460	4,400	1,976	980	647	4,088	667		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			20,977 99.2%	20,977 99.2%	1,301	1,617	1,662	3,467	4,524	1,972	983	647	4,137	667		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			19,782 99.6%	19,782 99.6%	1,314	1,620	1,690	3,460	3,420	1,976	980	647	4,088	587		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			21,487	21,487	1,316	1,656	1,710	3,539	4,903	1,997	995	647	4,137	587		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			21,264 (99%)	21,264	1,314	1,756	1,728	3,601	4,540	1,997	1,006	658	4,088	576		
	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE			22,226 (97.8%) 21,151	22,226 21,151	1,589 1,378	1,882 1,587	1,790 1,689	3,932 3,539	4,899 4,400	2,132 2,010	829 1,009	592 658	4,019 4,214	562 667		
	National Program Manager Comments	All universe	numbers are	approximate as t			1,567	1,009	3,339	4,400	2,010	1,009	038	4,214	007		
WQ-14b	Number, and national percent, of Categorical Industrial Users (CIUs) that are discharging to POTWs without Pretreatment Programs that have control mechanisms in place that implement applicable pretreatment standards and requirements.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			81%	1,306	45	64	67	267	463	124	191	36	6	43		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			77%	1,278	45	71	68	283	521	124	84	36	6	40		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE			80% 91.2%	1,315 1,015	45	72 117	68 74	299 31	542 458	124 17	81 31	36 45	6	42 198		
	UNIVERSE			100%	1,606	45	72	75	321	630	124	243	42	6	48		
	National Program Manager Comments	All universe	numbers are	approximate as t	hey shift from yea	r to year.											
WQ-15a	Percent of major dischargers in Significant Noncompliance (SNC) at any time during the fiscal year.	OMB PA BUD SG	<22.5%	<22.5%												<22.5%	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			<22.5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	<22.5%	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			<22.5% <22.5%	<22.5% <22.5%	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	<22.5%	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			19.7%	19.7%	25.0%	28.7%	15.0%	20.7%	17.7%	23.7%	17.7%	8.0%	13.7%	15.3%		
	FY 2006 UNIVERSE			6,643	6,643	426	582	757	1,345	1,167	1,087	396	260	347	276		
	National Program Manager Comments	HQ reports r	esults by Re	gion. FY08 comm	nitment for WQ-1	5a of ≤22.5%	6 is a 3 yr. a	erage that sl	nows overall	trends. No re	gional comn	itments are	set.				
WQ-16	Number, and national percent, of all major publicly- owned treatment works (POTWs) that comply with their permitted wastewater discharge standards. (i.e. POTWs that are not in significant non-compliance)	OMB PA BUD	86%	3,644.68 86%												3,644.68 86%	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			86% 86.7%	3,665 4,336											3,665 4,336	
	F1 2011 END OF TEAR RESULT			80.7%	4,336											4,330	

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure catego Congressional Ju-	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M- tification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the El						Act Measure)	LT (Long Terr	n Budget Meas	sure), and I (Ind	icator Measure). ** FY 2013	Budget Target i	is from 4-year p	erformance me	asure table in	the FY 2013
Congressional su	FY 2011 COMMITMENT	11111201113	Strategie i iaii,	86%	4.256											4,256	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			86.9%	4,334											4,334	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			3,670	3,670											3,670	
	UNIVERSE			100%	4,238											4,238	
WQ-17	Fund utilization rate [cumulative loan agreement dollars to the cumulative funds available for projects] for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF).	OMB PA BUD ARRA	94.5%	94.5%	95.5%	94%	90%	93%	95%	100%	97%	96%	94%	96%	100%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			94.5%	94.5%	94%	90%	92%	95%	100%	96%	92%	95%	95%	98%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			98%	98%	104%	95%	95%	99%	97%	95%	98%	96%	107%	103%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			94.5%	94.5%	94%	90%	92%	96%	95%	95%	93%	95%	94%	95%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			100%	100%	108%	95%	96%	100%	102%	94%	101%	98%	111%	100%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE			98% 94.7%	98% 94.7%	102% 110%	90%	92% 89%	102% 95%	98% 98%	94% 91%	n/a 88%	93% 91%	109% 93%	104% 98%		
	UNIVERSE (in billions)			\$84.5	\$84.5	\$8.1	\$16.6	\$7.3	\$9.9	\$18.1	\$8.0	\$4.4	\$2.7	\$6.8	\$2.5		
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe ren	resents the c		vailable for project												
		OMB PA			IIII 101 projec		, , on	J. J. Golie	,, and d			,ge.si					
WQ-19a	Number of high priority state NPDES permits that are issued in the fiscal year.	BUD SG	80%	655 80%	545	11	24	80	64	143	56	95	41	16	15		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			652	653	14	29	137	80	124	56	95	54	20	44		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			943	943	27	41	157	158	161	82	160	66	26	65		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			702	702	13	24	167	80	93	57	116	67	16	69		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,008 (142%)	1,008	16	40	142	181	197	91	194	62	43	42		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,026	1,026	16	42 22	125 21	253 91	204 265	122 125	164 32	56 22	36	8		
	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE			601 (104%) 824	601 792	13	24	167	80	179	57	116	67	20	69		
	National Program Manager Comments			can no longer exc	eed 100% issuance in the current f	e due to a re	finement of	the measure	definition, an	nd the target v						ge results cl	hanged from
	Number of high priority state and EPA (including tribal)			727													
WQ-19b	NPDES permits that are issued in the fiscal year.	BUD	80%	80%	583	23	37	81	64	143	57	96	44	18	20		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			719 1,005	720 1,005	31 50	39 54	138 158	80 158	124	59	108	57 68	23	61 78		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			763	763	29	37	158	80	161 93	86 59	161 121	69	20	86		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,097 (144%)	1,097	53	49	145	181	197	95	194	62	62	59		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,118	1,118	36	54	130	253	204	132	165	58	48	38		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			59 (104%)	59	16	9	0	0	0	1	8	6	0	19		
	UNIVERSE			913	852	29	37	169	80	179	59	121	69	23	86		
	National Program Manager Comments				eed 100% issuance in the current f						vas revised a	ccordingly. T	The universe	used to calcu	ilate percenta	ge results cl	hanged from
WQ-22a	Number of Regions that have completed the development of a Healthy Watersheds Initiative (HWI) Strategy and have reached an agreement with at least one state to implement its portion of the Region's HWI Strategy.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			4		1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0		
	FY 2010 BASELINE			0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	UNIVERSE			10		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	e for FY11.														
WQ-23	Percent of serviceable rural Alaska homes with access to drinking water supply and wastewater disposal.	OMB PA BUD	91%	93%												92.5%	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			92.5%												92.5% n/a	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			n/a 92%												n/a 92%	
	FY 2010 BASELINE			91%												91%	
	National Program Manager Comments	The final FY of serviceable			ed to be available	by May 201	2, after the S	tate of Alask	a has conduc	cted its annua	l housing cou	int in March	April. The u	iniverse is no	ot applicable		re percent
WQ-25a	Number of urban water projects initiated addressing water quality issues in the community. FY 2012 COMMITMENT	BUD	3	10													
	BASELINE BASELINE			TBD													
	UNIVERSE			TBD													
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	e for FY12:		n if grants funding	is provided	as proposed	in the FY12	President's I	Budget. The b	aseline will b	e establishe	with the fir	st reporting of	cycle in FY12		

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categor Congressional Jus	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M tification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the El	leasure); SG (Sta	te Grant Measi	ure); KPI (Key Perfor	mance Indicator); Al	RRA (Recovery	Act Measure);	LT (Long Terr	m Budget Meas	sure), and I (Indi	cator Measure). ** FY 2013 l	Budget Target i	is from 4-year p	erformance me	asure table in	the FY 2013
_	Number of urban water projects completed addressing		Strategic Fran,		ss in September 2010	,. 											$\overline{}$
WQ-25b	water quality issues in the community.	BUD	0	N/A													
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			N/A													
	BASELINE			TBD													
	UNIVERSE		C F7/12	TBD	10 10 11	, .			D				1 11 1 6		1		
Sahahiantina 1	National Program Manager Comments	New measur	e for FY12:	will be reported o	n if grants funding	g is provided	as proposed	in the FY12	President's I	Budget. The b	aseline will b	oe established	1 with the fir	st reporting c	cycle in FY12		
Subobjective 2	2.2.2 Improve Coastal and Ocean Waters	T			T				Т				l	T	l		
	Prevent water pollution and protect coastal and ocean systems to improve national and regional coastal aquatic	OMB PA															
CO-222.N11	systems to improve national and regional coastal aquate system health on the 'good/fair/poor' scale of the National	SP	LT	2.8												2.8	≥2.8
	Coastal Condition Report.	BUD															
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			2.8												2.8	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			2.8												2.8	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			2.8												2.8	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			2.8												2.8	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2004 BASELINE			2.4												2.4	
	UNIVERSE			5												5	
	National Program Manager Comments	Rating consis	sts of a 5-po	int system where	l is poor and 5 is	good.											-
CO-SP20.N11	Percent of active dredged material ocean dumping sites that will have achieved environmentally acceptable conditions (as reflected in each site's management plan and measured through on-site monitoring programs).	BUD SP	95%	95%	95%	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	75%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		95%
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			96%	96%	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			93%	93%	100%	100%	100%	74%	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			98%	98%	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	94%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			90.1%	90.1%	100%	100%	100%	74%	n/a	57%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		4
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	95%	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE 2012 UNIVERSE			94% (60) 65	60 65	5	3	2	17 19	n/a n/a	15 14	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	11	7		
CO-02	Total coastal and non-coastal statutory square miles protected from vessel sewage by "no discharge zone(s)." (cumulative)	I		Indicator	0.5				.,			12 ti	n u	12	10		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			54,494		3,019	2,340.33	65.17	3,084.77	45,701	2	0	254	28	0		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			53,635		3,132	1,580.33	65.17	2,872	45,701	2	0	254	28	0		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			33,966,990		1,897,585	821,490	41,711	1,775,702	29,248,806	1,280	0	162,560	17,856	0		
	FY 2009 BASELINE			52,607		2,511	1,271	65	2,775	45,701	2	0	254	28	0		
	UNIVERSE			163,129		6,453	5,995	7,882	24,128	55,419	9,905	568	1,749	9,883	41,145		
	National Program Manager Comments	(FY08: linear		consists of the to 9: acres, FY10: st			designated as	an NDZ un	ider the curre	nt regulations	(in statutory	y square mile	es). Note the	change in un	its of measur	e from FY0	8 to FY10
CO-04	Dollar value of "primary" leveraged resources (cash or in- kind) obtained by the NEP Directors and/or staff in millions of dollars rounded to the nearest tenth of a percent. FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT	I		Indicator		e520	ф20.	÷11	¢21	,	¢10			ė7.	C 4.4		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			\$662 \$274.3		\$530 \$71.3	\$29 \$12.6	\$11 \$9.3	\$31 \$43.1	n/a n/a	\$10 \$5.8	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	\$7 \$25.1	\$44 \$107.1		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			\$514.6		\$337.6	\$14.8	\$10.1	\$65.6	n/a	\$12.5	n/a	n/a	\$23.1	\$53		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			\$158.8		\$12.3	\$46.9	\$7.7	\$19.1	n/a	\$4.5	n/a	n/a	\$51	\$17.3		
	National Program Manager Comments			ounded to nearest be those obtained		t). Note that					Estuary Prog					n example o	f primary
CO-06	Number of active dredged material ocean dumping sites that are monitored in the reporting year.	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			33		1	2	2	12	n/a	2	n/a	n/a	2	12		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			33 38		3 2	1	2	6	n/a n/a	5 11	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	6	10		
	2012 UNIVERSE			65		5	3	2	19	n/a n/a	14		n/a n/a	12	10		
		OMBBA		03			3		19	11/1	14	n/a	ıı/a	12	10		
CO-432.N11	Working with partners, protect or restore additional acres of habitat within the study areas for the 28 estuaries that are part of the National Estuary Program (NEP).	OMB PA BUD SP	100,000	100,000	45,655	2,500	1,255	2,400	30,000	n/a	3,000	n/a	n/a	1,000	5,500		600,000
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			100,000	45,742	2,543	1,258	2,650	30,000	n/a	3,000	n/a	n/a	1,000	5,291		

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categor Congressional Jus	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M stification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the El	easure); SG (Sta PA FY 2011-15	te Grant Meas Strategic Plan	sure); KPI (Key Perfor L submitted to Congre	mance Indicator); Al- ss in September 2010	RRA (Recovery	Act Measure)	LT (Long Terr	m Budget Meas	ure), and I (Ind	icator Measure). ** FY 2013 I	Budget Target	is from 4-year p	performance me	asure table in	:he FY 2013
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			62,213	62,213	6,259.6	1,350.9	5,403	29,723.8	n/a	5,269.3	n/a	n/a	9,059.9	5,146.7		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			100,000	42,644	3,684	1,105	3,500	30,000	n/a	3,000	n/a	n/a	200	1,155		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			89,985	89,985	3,955.37	1,435.8	3,052.08	67,142.6	n/a	740	n/a	n/a	8,670	4,989.34		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			125,437	125,437	6,184	1,690	4,642	101,792	n/a	3,943	n/a	n/a	4,861	2,325		
	FY 2005 BASELINE	T1 F3/10 1		449,241	449,241	14,562	15,009	33,793	232,605	n/a	54,378	n/a	n/a	82,363	16,531		
	National Program Manager Comments	The FY13 pl	anning targe	et is higher than the	e regional aggrega	ites because	the planning	target aligns	with the targ	et included ii	the FY13 b	idget.					
Subobjective 2	2.2.3 Increase Wetlands													_			
WT- SP21.N11	Working with partners, achieve a net increase of wetlands nation wide, with additional focus on coastal wetlands, and biological and functional measures and assessment of wetland condition.	SP		Deferred for FY 2013												Deferred for FY 2013	Net Increase
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Net Increase & Maintain Coastal												Net Increase & Maintain	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			Deferred												Deferred	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			32,000												32,000	
	National Program Manager Comments	in the Conter enforcement Qualifying la	minous Uni measures, o inguage: The	not from ACS. The ited States 2005-09 conservation initiat e 2005-09 reportin s old upon publicat	9, Washington, DO ives, the impacts of g period of this m	C. The overal of the 2005 h leasure reflec	Il decline in v nurricane sea ets that the da	vetland area son, and clim ta: a) are pu	is complex a natic changes blished in 5-	nd potentially year incremen	reflected ec	onomic cond	litions, land	use trends, cl	hanging wetl	and regulation	on and
WT-SP22	In partnership with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, states and tribes, achieve 'no net loss' of wetlands each year under the Clean Water Act Section 404 regulatory program.	BUD	No Net Loss	No Net Loss												No Net Loss	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			No Net Loss												No Net Loss	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			No Net Loss												No Net Loss	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			No Net Loss												No Net Loss	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			No Net Loss												No Net Loss	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	_		No Net Loss		_										No Net Loss	
	National Program Manager Comments	Data source:	U.S. Army	Corps of Engineer	s ORM2 Regulate	ory Program	Database. Pl	ease note tha	it there is a d	ata lag with t	his measure.	Reports for t	the fiscal yea	r reflect the	previous cale	ndar year.	
WT-01	Number of acres restored and improved, under the 5-Star, NEP, 319, and great waterbody programs (cumulative).	BUD	180,000	180,000												180,000	
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			170,000												170,000	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			154,000												154,000	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			150,000												150,000	4
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			130,000												130,000	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			103,507												103,507	
	FY 2006 BASELINE	These acres	may include	those supported b	v Wetland 5 Star	Restoration (Grants Natio	nal Fetuary I	Program Sac	tion 319 grav	nts Brownfie	lde grante o	r FPA's Gra	at Waterbod	ies Program	58,777 Commitmen	nt represents
	National Program Manager Comments			pected accomplish													represents
WT-02a	Number of states/tribes that have substantially built or increased capacity in wetland regulation, monitoring and assessment, water quality standards, and/or restoration and protection. (This is an annual reporting measure.)	I		Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			54		6	0	5	3	4	3	4	16	2	11		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			47		5	0	5	1	4	3	3	13	5	8		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			22		6	0	5	3	4	0	1	0	1	2		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			20		6	0	3	7	0	0	1	3	0	0		
	UNIVERSE			584		9	7	5	6	36	68	9	27	146	271		
	National Program Manager Comments	substantially completing t	built or incr wo or more	rack work of all sta reased capacity in v of the actions four s to zero annually a	wetland regulation and in the tables for	n, monitoring and at: epa.g	and assessm ov/owow/est	ent, water qu p/. *This me	uality standai asure is eval	ds, and/or re uated annuall	storation and y and is an in	protection. dicator of w	Substantially here states a	built or inci nd tribes are	reased capaci focusing the	ty is defined r wetland de	l as evelopment

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure catego Congressional Jus	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M- stification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the El						Act Measure);	LT (Long Terr	m Budget Meas	ure), and I (Indi	cator Measure)	. ** FY 2013 I	Budget Target i	s from 4-year p	erformance me	asure table in	the FY 2013
WT-03	Percent of Clean Water Act Section 404 standard permits, upon which EPA coordinated with the permitting authority (i.e., Corps or State), where a final permit decision in Fy 08 documents requirements for greater environmental protection* than originally proposed.	ĭ .	8	Indicator													
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			88%	77%	100%	0%	85%	93%	90%	75%	82%	91%	100%	57%		
	National Program Manager Comments	*"Requireme were incorpo 1. Demons a) Determina practicable a significant de 2. Demons 3. Determi Note: The do	ents for greater and the tration of adeation of water liternatives; elegradation. Tration of adeation of adeating the adeation of adeatio	ter environmental e final permit decequate impact avor dependency; b) Identification of equate impact mit quate compensat ermit decision car	oidance, including Characterization Least Environme nimization	ounted under : of basic proje entally Damag an issued, w	this measured the purpose; the purpose; the purpose; the practical ithdrawn, or	e when EPA c) Determin ble Alternati denied perm	ation of rang ve; f) Compl	e of practicab iance with We	le alternative QS, MPRSA nber of indiv	es; d) Evalua , ESA and/o	tion of direct	, secondary ant standards;	and cumulati g) Evaluatio	ve impacts fon of potenti	or al for
Subobjective 2	2.2.4 Improve the Health of the Great Lakes	-, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								type and a second							
GL-433.N11	Improve the overall ecosystem health of the Great Lakes by preventing water pollution and protecting aquatic ecosystems.	OMB PA SP BUD	23.4	23.4						23.4							At least 24.7
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			23.9						23.9							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			21.9						21.9							
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			23.4						23.4							
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			23.9						23.9							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			21.5						21.5							
	UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments				of progress of nu				ith a specific	focus on coa	stal wetlands	, phosphorus	concentration	ons, AOC see	diment conta	mination, be	enthic health,
			ntamination,	beach closures, o	lrinking water qua	ility, and air to	oxics deposi	tion.		I			I	ı	I		1
GL-SP29	Cumulative percentage decline for the long term trend in average concentrations of PCBs in Great Lakes fish.	OMB PA BUD	43%	43%						43%							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			40%						40% 44%							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			37%						37%							
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			43%						43%							
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			6%						6%							
	National Program Manager Comments				enerally lake trout, FY13 target perta												
CI GD21	Number of Areas of Concern in the Great Lakes where all																
GL-SP31	management actions necessary for delisting have been implemented (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD	4	4						4							
GL-SP31	implemented (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT	OMB PA	4	3						3							
GL-SP31	implemented (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT	OMB PA	4	3 2						3 2							
GL-SP31	implemented (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT	OMB PA	4	3 2 1						3 2 1							
GL-SP31	implemented (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	OMB PA	4	3 2 1 1						3 2 1 1							
GL-SP31	implemented (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE	OMB PA	4	3 2 1 1 1						3 2 1 1 1							
GL-SP31	implemented (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	OMB PA BUD		3 2 1 1 1 1 1 31						3 2 1 1 1 1 31							
GL-SF31	implemented (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE	This measure at 2 AOCs (i	e identifies th	3 2 1 1 1 1 1 31	et for taking all no	ecessary mana	agement acti	ons to delist	the original	3 2 1 1 1 1 31	tional Areas	of Concern.	Through FY	72011, such	management	actions have	e been taken
GL-SP32.N11	implemented (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Cubic yards (in millions) of contaminated sediment remediated in the Great Lakes (cumulative from 1997).	This measure	e identifies th	3 2 1 1 1 1 31 se cumulative targand Pennsylvania		ecessary man	agement acti	ons to delist	the original	3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 31 31 US or bina 9.6 million	tional Areas	of Concern.	Through FY	(2011, such	management	actions hav	e been taken 10.2 million
	implemented (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Cubic yards (in millions) of contaminated sediment remediated in the Great Lakes (cumulative from 1997). FY 2012 COMMITMENT	This measure at 2 AOCs (i OMB PA BUD	e identifies th	3 2 1 1 1 1 31 se cumulative targand Pennsylvania 9.6 million 8.7 million		eccessary mana	agement acti	ons to delist	the original	3 2 1 1 1 1 1 31 31 31 US or bina 9.6 million 8.7 million	tional Areas	of Concern.	Through FY	(2011, such	management	actions hav	10.2
	implemented (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Cubic yards (in millions) of contaminated sediment remediated in the Great Lakes (cumulative from 1997). FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT	This measure at 2 AOCs (i OMB PA BUD	e identifies th	3 2 1 1 1 1 31 se cumulative targand Pennsylvania 9.6 million 8.7 million 8.4 million		eccessary mana	agement acti	ons to delist	the original	3 2 1 1 1 1 1 31 31 US or bina 9.6 million 8.7 million 8.4 million	tional Areas	of Concern.	Through FY	(2011, such	management	actions hav	10.2
	implemented (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Cubic yards (in millions) of contaminated sediment remediated in the Great Lakes (cumulative from 1997). FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT	This measure at 2 AOCs (i OMB PA BUD	e identifies th	3 2 1 1 1 1 31 e cumulative targand Pennsylvania 9.6 million 8.7 million 7.2 million		ecessary man	agement acti	ons to delist	the original	3 2 1 1 1 1 31 31 US or bina 9.6 million 8.7 million 7.2 million	tional Areas	of Concern.	Through FY	(2011, such i	management	actions hav	10.2
	implemented (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Cubic yards (in millions) of contaminated sediment remediated in the Great Lakes (cumulative from 1997). FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT	This measure at 2 AOCs (i OMB PA BUD	e identifies th	3 2 1 1 1 1 31 se cumulative targand Pennsylvania 9.6 million 8.7 million 7.2 million 7.3 million		ecessary man	agement acti	ons to delist	the original	3 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 3 1 US or bina 8.7 million 8.4 million 7.2 million 7.3 million 9.4 m	tional Areas	of Concern.	Through FY	72011, such	management	actions hav	10.2
	implemented (cumulative) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Cubic yards (in millions) of contaminated sediment remediated in the Great Lakes (cumulative from 1997). FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT	This measure at 2 AOCs (i OMB PA BUD	e identifies th	3 2 1 1 1 1 31 e cumulative targand Pennsylvania 9.6 million 8.7 million 7.2 million		ecessary mana	agement acti	ons to delist	the original	3 2 1 1 1 1 31 31 US or bina 9.6 million 8.7 million 7.2 million	tional Areas	of Concern.	Through FY	72011, such	management	actions hav	10.2

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure catego Congressional Just	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M stification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the E						Act Measure);	LT (Long Terr	n Budget Meas	ure), and I (Ind	icator Measure). ** FY 2013 I	Budget Target	is from 4-year p	erformance me	asure table in	the FY 2013
Congressional 342	National Program Manager Comments	Universe ide	ntifies quant	ity of contaminate ent decisions, info	d sediment estima	ited to require											tted
GL-05	Number of Beneficial Use Impairments removed within	OMB PA	41	41						41							
GL-03	Areas of Concern. (cumulative)	BUD	41														
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			31 26						31 26							
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			26						26							
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			12						12							
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE			12						12 11							
	UNIVERSE			261						261							
	National Program Manager Comments	New measur	e added for	FY09 from 2007	OMB PA review.												J
GL-06	Number of nonnative species newly detected in the Great Lakes ecosystem.	BUD	0.8	0.8						0.8							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			0.8						0.8							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			1						1							
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2005 BASELINE			1.1						1.1							
	UNIVERSE			181						181							
	National Program Manager Comments	1.3 species p species from	per year. NO 2000-2009)	d prior to the Gre AA scientists hav The FY 2012 an riginal targets.	e since reclassifie	d the detectio	n dates of th	ree species b	ased on a re	assessment a	nd categoriza	ation of avail	able data. Tl	nis alters the	baseline to 1	0 species pe	er year (10
GL-07	Number of multi-agency rapid response plans established, mock exercises to practice responses carried out under those plans, and/or actual response actions (cumulative).	BUD	15	15						15							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			10						10							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			8						7							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0						0							
	UNIVERSE			n/a						n/a							
	National Program Manager Comments	New measur	e starting in	FY11, added fron	n the Great Lakes	Restoration I	nitiative Act	ion Plan. Tai	get increased	1 in FY 2013	President's E	Budget.					Τ
GL-08	Percent of days of the beach season that the Great Lakes beaches monitored by state beach safety programs are open and safe for swimming.	BUD	90%	90%	92%		90%	95%		90%							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			90%	93%		94%	95%		90%							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 BASELINE			80% 92%	80%		n/a	98.9%		62% 92%							-
	UNIVERSE			100%						55.026							
	National Program Manager Comments	New measur	e starting in	FY12, replacing t	he following meas	ure in the GI	RI Action P	lan: "Percent	age of beach	es meeting b	acteria stand	ards 95 perce	ent or more of	of beach days	."		
GL-09	Acres managed for populations of invasive species controlled to a target level (cumulative).	BUD	18,000	18,000						18,000							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			2,600						2,600							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			13,045 1,500						13,045 1,500							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0						0							
	National Program Manager Comments	of this effort	contribute to	of funding for involved efforts to protect	t, restore, and enh	ance costal ha	abitat (GL-1										
GL-10	Percent of populations of native aquatic non-threatened and endangered species self-sustaining in the wild (cumulative).	BUD	34%	34% 50						34% 50	-						
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT		50	35%						35%							
				51						51							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			31% 35%						31% 35%							
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			52						52	-						
	FY 2009 BASELINE			27%						27%							
	UNIVERSE			147						147							
	National Program Manager Comments			hanged in the FY species that are se												ns of native	aquatic non-

	FY 2013 Measure Text its include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M	*Measure Groups easure); SG (Sta	**FY 2013 Budget Target te Grant Meas	FY 2013 Planning Target ure); KPI (Key Perfor	Regional Aggregates mance Indicator); AI	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4 n Budget Meas	Region 5 ure), and I (Ind	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10 erformance me	HQ asure table in	***SP Target (FY 2015)
Congressional Jus GL-11	tification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the El Number of acres of wetlands and wetland-associated uplands protected, restored and enhanced (cumulative).	PA FY 2011-15 BUD	Strategic Plan 13,000	, submitted to Congre	ss in September 2010).				13,000							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			7,500						7,500							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			9,624						9,624							
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			7,500						7,500							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0						550,000							
	UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments	New measur	e starting in	550,000 FY11, added fron	the Great Lakes	Restoration I	nitiative Act	ion Plan		330,000							
GL-12	Number of acres of coastal, upland, and island habitats protected, restored and enhanced (cumulative).	BUD	20,000	20,000	The Great Bakes					20,000							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			20,000						20,000							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			12,103 20,000						12,103 20,000							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0						0							
	UNIVERSE			1,000,000						1,000,000							
	National Program Manager Comments	New measur	e starting in	FY11, added fron	the Great Lakes	Restoration I	nitiative Act	ion Plan. FY	2012 target	was adjusted	in FY 2013	President's E	udget.	,			
GL-13	Number of species delisted due to recovery.	BUD	2	2						2							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			1						1							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			1						1							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0						0							
	UNIVERSE			28						28							
	National Program Manager Comments	New measur	e starting in	FY11, added fron	the Great Lakes	Restoration I	nitiative Act	ion Plan. Tar	get is cumul	ative starting	in 2011.		ı		1		
GL-15	Five-year average annual loadings of soluble reactive phosphorus (metric tons per year) from tributaries draining targeted watersheds.	BUD	1.0%	1.0%						1.0%							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			0.5%						0.5%							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a						n/a							
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT	0.00.1.11		0.5%			6.5	6 11	11 11	0.5%							
	National Program Manager Comments	Sufficient his	torical data	does not currently	exist to allow for	calculation	of 5-year ave	rages for all	applicable w	atersheds. M	easure under	revision.	1			I	
GL-16	Acres in Great Lakes watershed with USDA conservation practices implemented to reduce erosion, nutrients, and/or pesticide loading.	BUD	20%	20%						20%							
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			8%						8%							
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			62% 2%						62% 2%							
	BASELINE			165,000						165,000							
		New measur	e starting in	FY11. The com	nitments measure	annual perce	ntage increa	ses from the	FY05 baseli		acres. The	cres tracked	in this meas	sure are not c	umulative bu	t are for ne	w
	National Program Manager Comments		practices in	nplemented in a gi	ven fiscal year. T	he percentage	e increase wi	ill vary consi	lerably from	year to year	due to fundir	g, the conse	rvation unive	erse, and the	difficulty of o	conservation	a practices.
Subobjective 2	2.2.5 Improve the Health of the Chesapeake Bay Ecosys	stem															
CB-SP33.N11	Percent of Submerged Aquatic Vegetation goal of 185,000 acres achieved, based on annual monitoring from prior year.	OMB PA SP	LT	Long Term Measure				Long Term Measure									50% (92,500)
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Long Term				Long Term									
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			43%				43%									
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			Long Term 46% (85,914)				Long Term 46%									
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			42% (76,861)				42%									
	FY 2005 BASELINE			39% (72,945)				39%									
	UNIVERSE	ED. I		185,000		01.5		185,000									
	National Program Manager Comments	EPA has set	a long term	target of 50% goa	l achievement in 2	2015.										I	
CB-SP34	Percent of Dissolved Oxygen goal of 100% standards attainment achieved, based on annual monitoring from the previous calendar year and the preceding 2 years.	OMB PA	LT	Long Term Measure				Long Term Measure									
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Long Term				Long Term									
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			38.5%				38.5%									
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			12% 16% (12.27 km³)				12% 16%									

(cumulative starting in FY 07)			1	1								1			1	1	1	1
Construction *** Interpolation *** Interpolation *** Interpolation *** Interpolation *** Interpolation *** Interpolation Interpola	Code		Groups	2013 Budget Target	Planning Target	Aggregates	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		***SP Target (FY 2015)
UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments National Program Manager Comments The revised Success conduction of the Sept TMD I. Reads from PVII EXY or face may need to a person and the depted during the color was accommon to add and post during the first program of the sept TMD I. Reads from PVII EXY or face may need to a person and the sept and the se	* Measure categor	ies include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M	leasure); SG (Sta	ite Grant Meas	ure); KPI (Key Perfor	rmance Indicator); A	RRA (Recovery	Act Measure);	LT (Long Terr	m Budget Meas	sure), and I (Ind	icator Measure). ** FY 2013 I	Budget Target i	s from 4-year p	erformance me	asure table in	the FY 2013
Notes of paid divined for implemental program Manager Commonts National Program Manager Commonts Notes of paid divined for implementing charges and the control of the program of the control of the co	Congressional Jus	tification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the E	PA FY 2011-15	Strategic Plan		ess in September 201	0.				1	1					1	
Noticed Pregram Manager Comments National Pregram Manager Comments Provided in the control of and a diserved for implementing attropy in the control of an advised for implementing attropy in the control of an advised for implementing attropy in the control of an advised for implementing attropy in the control of an advised for implementing attropy in the control of an advised for implementing attropy in the control of an advised for implementing attropy in the control of an advised for implementing attropy in the control of an advised for implementing attropy in the control of an advised for implementing attropy in the control of an advised for implementing attropy in the control of an advised for implementing attropy in the control of an advised for implementing attropy in the control of an advised for implementing attropy in the control of an advised for implementing attropy in the control of an advised for implementing attrophy in the control of an advised for implementing attrophy in the control of an advised for implementing attrophy in the control of an advised for implementing attrophy in the control of an advised for implementing attrophy in the control of an advised for implementing attrophy in the control of an advised for implementing attrophy in the control of advised for implementing attrophy in the control of advised for implementing attrophy in the control of advised for implementing attracts and advised for implementing advised attracts and advised attracts ano		FY 2005 BASELINE							30%									
National Program Minanger Communits National Program Minanger Communits Protecting and advanced for implementing animal production are several and an advanced animal program of the lay TMIDL. Evaluate in the TMIDL. Evaluate in		UNIVERSE							100%									
CE-979		National Program Manager Comments	The revised	historic resu	e changed due to r lts are FY05: 42%	; FY08: 40.5%; F	Y09: 42.1%;	FY10: 39.49	%. Long terr	n budget targ	get is 40% by	FY 2015. Ef	forts by Bay	jurisdictions	and EPA to	reduce nitrog	gen and phos	sphorus
Y 2011 END OF YEAR RESILT 98, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90	CB-SP35	pollution reduction actions to achieve the final TMDL allocations, as measured through the phase 5.3 watershed model.		22.5%														
P 200 BASTLINE																		
National Program Manager Comments																		
Noticeal Program Manager Comments P 2013 argor to based on a straightful raycotory to achieve 60% by PY 2018.																		
Percent of goal achieved for implementing phosphorus post post part post			EV 2012 4	ant in h1		nicotom t1-	a 600/ L. FV	2018	100%									
Py 201 END OF YEAR RESULT	CB-SP36	Percent of goal achieved for implementing phosphorus pollution reduction actions to achieve final TMDL allocations, as measured through the phase 5.3 watershed model.	OMB PA		22.5%	ajectory to acmev	e 60% by F1	2018.										
Y 2010 RASELINE									15/0									
National Program Manager Comments																		
National Program Manager Comments Y2 015 target is based on a straightline trajectory to achieve 60% by PY 2018.																		
Percent of goal achieved for implementing ectiment OMB PA BUD 22.5% 22.5% BUD 360 360 BUD 360 360 BUD 36.600 BUD 36.6			FY 2013 tars	get is based		ajectory to achiev	e 60% by FY	2018.	10070									
FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT	CB-SP37	pollution reduction actions to achieve final TMDL allocations, as measured through the phase 5.3 watershed model.		22.5%														
FY 2010 BASELINE 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0																		
UNIVERSE 100% 100																		
National Program Manager Comments FY 2013 target is based on a straightline trajectory to achieve 60% by FY 2018.																		
Subolycitive 2.2.6 Restore and Protect the Gulf of Mexico on the "good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report. 2.4			EV 2012 tors	got is based		nicatoru to achieu	o 600/ by EV	2019	100%									
Improve the overall health of coastal waters of the Gulf of Mexico on the "goodfair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report. 2.4 2.	Subobjective 2		F1 2013 tar	get is based	on a straightime ti	ajectory to acmev	e 00% by F1	2016.										
FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT		Improve the overall health of coastal waters of the Gulf of Mexico on the "good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report.		2.4														
Available Avai		FY 2012 COMMITMENT															2.4	
FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT		FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT															n/a	
Available Avai		FY 2011 COMMITMENT															2.6	
FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2004 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Restore water and habitat quality to meet water quality standards in impaired segments in 13 priority areas. (cumulative starting in FY 07) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT Sales BUD 30,600 30,600 30,600 30,600 30,600 30,600		FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT															n/a	
FY 2004 BASELINE UNIVERSE The rating is based on five indicators of ecological condition: water quality index, sediment quality index, benthic index, coastal habitat index, and fish tissue contaminants index. Restore water and habitat quality to meet water quality standards in impaired segments in 13 priority areas. (cumulative starting in FY 07) FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT SPY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT SPY 2010 END OF YEAR RESUL		FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT															2.2	
UNIVERSE S																	2.4	
Restore water and habitat quality to meet water quality standards in impaired segments in 13 priority areas. (cumulative starting in FY 07) September 1 September 2					5												5	
GM-SP38 standards in impaired segments in 13 priority areas. BUD 360		National Program Manager Comments	The rating is	based on fiv	ve indicators of ec	ological condition	: water qualit	y index, sedi	ment quality	index, benth	nic index, coa	stal habitat ir	ndex, and fish	tissue conta	minants inde	ex.		
FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT 286 286 128	GM-SP38	standards in impaired segments in 13 priority areas. (cumulative starting in FY 07)	BUD	360														
FY 2011 COMMITMENT 128 1																		
FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT																		
FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT																		
FY 2002 BASELINE																		
UNIVERSE Restore, enhance, or protect a cumulative number of acres of important coastal and marine habitats. (cumulative starting in FY 07) BUD 30,600 30,600 30,600																		
Restore, enhance, or protect a cumulative number of acres of important coastal and marine habitats. BUD 30,600 30,600 30,600 30,600																		
	GM-SP39	Restore, enhance, or protect a cumulative number of acres of important coastal and marine habitats. (cumulative starting in FY 07)	BUD	30,600	30,600												30,600	
FY 2012 COMMITMENT 30,600 30,600		FY 2012 COMMITMENT			30,600												30,600	

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure catego Congressional Ju	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M stification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the E						Act Measure);	LT (Long Terr	n Budget Meas	ure), and I (Ind	icator Measure). ** FY 2013	Budget Target i	is from 4-year p	erformance me	easure table in t	the FY 2013
9	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			30.052												30,052	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			30,000												30,000	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			29,552												29,552	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			29,344												29,344	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			16,000												16,000	
	UNIVERSE			3,769,370												3,769,370	
	National Program Manager Comments	Coastal habit	at includes i	marshes, wetlands	, tidal flats, oyster	beds, seagra	sses, mangro	ves, dunes a	nd maritime	forest ridge a	reas.	1		1	1	1	
GM- SP40.N11	Reduce releases of nutrients throughout the Mississippi River Basin to reduce the size of the hypoxic zone in the Gulf of Mexico, as measured by the 5-year running	SP		Deferred for FY 2013												Deferred	5,000 km²
	average of the size of the zone.																
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Deferred												Deferred	
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			17,520 km ²												17,520	
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			Deferred 20,000 km ²												Deferred 20,000	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT															20,000 n/a	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			n/a												14,128	
6 1 1 1 1	2.2.7 Restore and Protect the Long Island Sound			14,128 km ²												14,128	
Subobjective .		1															
LI-SP41	Percent of goal achieved in reducing trade-equalized (TE) point source nitrogen discharges to Long Island Sound from the 1999 baseline of 59,146 TE lbs/day.	BUD	76%	76%			76%										
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			74%			74%										
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			69% 55%			69% 55%										
				70% (33,703													
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			TE lbs/day) 55% (39,011			70%										
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			TE lbs/day) 59.146 TE			55%										
	FY 1999 BASELINE			lbs/day			59,146										
	National Program Manager Comments	body (LIS).	The TMDL	e Equalized (TE) established a Wast ne 1999 baseline a	te Load Allocation	of 22,774 T	E lbs/day fro	m point sou	rces, to be ac	hieved over							
LI-SP42.N11	Reduce the size (square miles) of observed hypoxia (Dissolved Oxygen <3mg/l) in Long Island Sound.	SP		Deferred for FY 2013			Deferred										15%
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Deferred			Deferred										4
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			130 sq miles; 54 days			130; 54										
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			Deferred			Deferred										
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			101 sq miles; 40 days 169 sq. miles;			101; 40										
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			45 days 187 sq miles;			169; 45										
	FY 2005 BASELINE			58.6 days			187; 58.6										
	UNIVERSE			1,400 sq miles (total); 122 days (actually monitored)			1,400; 122										
	National Program Manager Comments			FY08. Due to int 208 square miles.	er-annual variabil	ty, annual rec	duction targe	ts are not ca	lculated for t	his measure.	Note on Uni	iverse: The 1	3 year pre-T	MDL year av	erage measu	ıred maximu	ım area of
LI-SP43	Restore, protect or enhance acres of coastal habitat from the 2010 baseline of 2,975 acres.	BUD	480 acres	480 acres			480 acres										
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			218 acres			218 acres										
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			890%			890%										
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			832%			832%										
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			740% (1,361)			740% (1,361)										
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,614			1,614										
	FY 2008 BASELINE			1,199 restored & protected			1,199										

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categor Congressional Jus	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget Mtification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the El						Act Measure);	LT (Long Terr	m Budget Mea	sure), and I (Ind	icator Measure). ** FY 2013 I	Budget Target	is from 4-year p	erformance me	asure table in	the FY 2013
	National Program Manager Comments	The long-ter	m percentage	goal of this mea	sure was significat o measure annual	ntly exceeded	d in FY10. T	he EPA revi	ised this mea	sure in FY12	to measure a	ctual acres to	o be restored	l instead of p	ercent of goa	l achieved.	The EPA
	Reopen miles of river and stream corridors to diadromous		annuar targe	as with partners t	o measure annuar	progress.											T
LI-SP44	fish passage from the 2010 baseline of 177 river miles by removal of dams and barriers or by installation of bypass structures.	BUD	51 miles	51 miles			51 miles										
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			28 miles			28 miles										
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			72% 92%			72% 92%										
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			72%			72%										
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			147			147										
	FY 2008 BASELINE			124			124										
	National Program Manager Comments				sure was significat o measure annual		d in FY11. T	he EPA revi	ised this mea	sure in FY12	to measure a	ctual miles t	o be reopene	ed instead of	percent of go	al achieved	The EPA
Subobjective 2	2.2.8 Restore and Protect the Puget Sound Basin	win establish	annuar targe	as with partiers t	o measure amuar	progress.											
PS-SP49.N11	Improve water quality and enable the lifting of harvest restrictions in acres of shellfish bed growing areas impacted by degraded or declining water quality. (cumulative starting in FY 06)	BUD SP	7,758	7,758											7,758		4,300
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			3,878											3,878		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,525											1,525		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			4,953											4,953		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			4,453											4,453		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,730											1,730		
	FY 2007 BASELINE UNIVERSE			322											322 30,000		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measur	es starting in		is the end-of-year	data for FY()7.								30,000		
PS-SP51	Restore acres of tidally- and seasonally-influenced estuarine wetlands. (cumulative starting in FY 06)	BUD	24,063	24,063											24,063		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			19,063											19,063		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			14,629											14,629		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			12,363											12,363		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			10,062.7											10,062.7		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 BASELINE			5,751 4,152											5,751 4,152		
	UNIVERSE			45,000											45,000		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measur	es starting in		is the end-of-year	data for FY()7.								15,000		
Subobjective 2	2.2.9 Sustain and Restore the U.SMexico Border Envir	ronmental H	ealth														
MB-SP23	Loading of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) removed (cumulative million pounds/year) from the U.SMexico Border area since 2003.	OMB PA BUD	121.5	121.5	121.3						95.6			25.7			
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			115	115						93.1			21.9			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			108.55	108.55						87			21.55			
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			108.2	108.2						87			21.2		18.7	
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2003 BASELINE			18.7	18.7						0			0		18.7	
	National Program Manager Comments			FY10. FY10's ta	rget and result rep J.SMexico Borde							ılative progre	ess from 200		ent measure-	year. 2003	Baseline:
MB- SP24.N11	Number of additional homes provided safe drinking water in the U.SMexico border area that lacked access to safe drinking water in 2003.	OMB PA BUD SP	3,000	3,000	3,000						3,000			n/a			73,886 cumulative
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			1,000	1,000						1,000			n/a			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,604	2,604						2,604			0			
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,000 21,650	2,000 21,650						2,000 19,751			1,899			
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,584	1,584						1,584			0			
	FY 2003 BASELINE			0	0						0			0			
	FY 2003 UNIVERSE			98,515													
	National Program Manager Comments			orted starting in F e drinking water.	Y09. FY03 Base	line: zero ad	ditional hom	es provided s	safe drinking	water in the 1	U.SMexico	Border area.	. FY03 Univ	erse: 98,515	known home	s in the Me	tico Border

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categor Congressional Jus	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M stification. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the E	leasure); SG (Sta PA FY 2011-15	te Grant Meası Strategic Plan,	ure); KPI (Key Perfor , submitted to Congre	mance Indicator); Al ss in September 2010	RRA (Recovery).	Act Measure);	LT (Long Terr	n Budget Meas	ure), and I (Indi	cator Measure). ** FY 2013 l	Budget Target i	s from 4-year p	erformance me	asure table in	the FY 2013
MB- SP25.N11	Number of additional homes provided adequate wastewater sanitation in the U.SMexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003.	OMB PA	27,000	27,000	27,000						7,000			20,000			518,042 cumulative
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			10,500	10,500						9,000			1,500			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			259,371	259,371						239,871			19,500			
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			207,000	207,000						190,000			17,000			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			75,175 43,594	75,175 43,594						71,926 39,477			3,249 4,117			
	FY 2003 BASELINE			43,394	43,394						0			0			
	FY 2003 UNIVERSE			690,723													
	National Program Manager Comments			oorted starting in F ss to wastewater s		line: zero ado	ditional home	es provided	wastewater sa	anitation the U	J.SMexico	Border area.	FY03 Unive	erse: 690,723	known hom	es in the U.	SMexico
Subobjective 2	2.2.10 Sustain and Restore the Pacific Island Territorie	s															
PI-SP26	Percent of population in the U.S. Pacific Island Territories served by community water systems that has access to continuous drinking water meeting all applicable health-based drinking water standards, measured on a four quarter rolling average basis.	BUD	82%	82%										82%			
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			80%										80% 87%			
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2011 COMMITMENT			87% 75%										75%			
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			82%										82%			
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			80%										80%			
	FY 2005 BASELINE			95% American Samoa; 10% Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands; 80% Guam										95% AS, 10% CNMI, 80% GU			
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	e starting in	FY08.		,							•				
Subobjective 2 SFL-SP45	Achieve 'no net loss' of stony coral cover (mean percent stony coral cover) in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS) and in the coastal waters of Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties, Florida, working with all stakeholders (federal, state, regional, tribal, and local).	I		Indicator					Indicator								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			Loss					Loss								
	FY 2010 COMMITMENT			No Net Loss					No Net Loss								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			No Net Loss					No Net Loss								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			Loss					Loss								
	FY 2005 BASELINE			6.8% in FKNMS; 5.9% in SE Florida					6.8% FKNMS; 5.9% SE FL								
	National Program Manager Comments	Keys Nationa	al Marine Sa e mean perce	n FY08. Measure unctuary was modi ent stony coral cov d results.	fied in 2006 by di	ropping one h	nardbottom n	nonitoring si	te because of	the very sma	ll percentage	of stony co	ral cover pres	sent (less tha	n .2%), resul	ting in an in	crease of .1
SFL-SP46	Annually maintain the overall health and functionality of sea grass beds in the FKNMS as measured by the long-term sea grass monitoring project that addresses composition and abundance, productivity, and nutrient availability.	I		Indicator					Indicator								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			Maintained Maintained					Maintained Maintained								
									Not								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			Not maintained					maintained								

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure catego Congressional Just	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M tiffication. *** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the E	Ieasure); SG (Sta PA FY 2011-15	te Grant Meas Strategic Plan	submitted to Congre	mance Indicator); AR ss in September 2010	RRA (Recovery	Act Measure);	LT (Long Teri		ure), and I (Ind	icator Measure). ** FY 2013	Budget Target	is from 4-year p	erformance me	asure table in	the FY 2013
	FY 2005 BASELINE			EI = 8.3; SCI=0.48					EI = 8.3; SCI=0.48								
	National Program Manager Comments	New measur	es starting ir	FY08. Measure	changed to Indica	ator in FY11.	EI = Eleme	ntal Indicate	or; SCI = Spe	cies Compos	ition Index.						
SFL-SP47a	At least seventy five percent of the monitored stations in the near shore and coastal waters of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary will maintain Chlorophyll a (CHLA) levels at less than or equal to 0.35 ug 1-1 and light clarity (Kd)) levels at less than or equal to 0.20 m-1.	BUD	75%	75%					75%								
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			75%					75%								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			85.4%					85.4%								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			75%					75%								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			Maintained					Maintained								
	FY 1995-2005 BASELINE			CHL A ≤ 0.35 ug/L (75.7%); Kd ≤ 0.20m ⁻¹ (74.6%)					CHL A ≤ 0.35 ug/L (75.7%); Kd ≤ 0.20m-1 (74.6%)								
	UNIVERSE			154					154								
	National Program Manager Comments	New measur	e starting in	FY11.													
SFL-SP47b	At least seventy five percent of the monitored stations in the near shore and coastal waters of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary will maintain dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) levels at less than or equal to 0.75 uM and total phosphorus (TP) levels at less than or equal to .25 uM.	BUD	75%	75%					75%								
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			75%					75%								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			73.6%					73.6%								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			75% Maintained					75% Maintained								
	FY 1995-2005 BASELINE			DIN ≤ 0.75 uM (76.3%); TP ≤ 0.25uM (80.9%)					76.3%; 80.9%								
	UNIVERSE			154					154								
	National Program Manager Comments	New measur	e starting in	FY11.													
SFL-SP48	Improve the water quality of the Everglades ecosystem as measured by total phosphorus, including meeting the 10 parts per billion (ppb) total phosphorus criterion throughout the Everglades Protection Area marsh and the effluent limits for discharges from stormwater treatment areas.	BUD	Maintain P baseline	Maintain P baseline					Maintain P baseline								
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Maintain phosphorus baseline					Maintain P baseline								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			Measure not Met					Measure not Met								
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			Maintain P baseline & meet discharge limits					Maintain P baseline & meet discharge limits								
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			Not maintained					Not maintained								
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			Not maintained					Not maintained								
	FY 2005 BASELINE			See comments													
	National Program Manager Comments		National W	FY08. FY05 Base ildlife Refuge, and W.													

FY 2013 ACS Code	FY 2013 Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2013 Budget Target	FY 2013 Planning Target	Regional Aggregates	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НО	***SP Target (FY 2015)
* Measure categor Congressional Jus	ries include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); BUD (Budget M tification. **** Strategic Plan targets (FY 2015) are from the E						Act Measure);	LT (Long Terr	n Budget Meas	sure), and I (Ind	icator Measure). ** FY 2013 I	Budget Target i	s from 4-year p	erformance mea	sure table in t	he FY 2013
SFL-1	Increase percentage of sewage treatment facilities and onsite sewage treatment and disposal systems receiving advanced wastewater treatment or best available technology as recorded by EDU. in Florida Keys two percent (1500 EDUs) annually.	I	stategie i iaii,	Indicator	35 III September 2010	•			Indicator								
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			42,000					42,000								
	FY 2009 BASELINE			32,000					32,000								
	UNIVERSE			75,000					75,000								
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	e starting in l	FY11.													
Subobjective 2	2.2.12 Restore and Protect the Columbia River Basin						ı										
CR-SP53	Clean up acres of known contaminated sediments. (cumulative starting in FY 06)			80											80		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			63											63		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			63											63		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			60											60		
	FY 2010 END OF YEAR RESULT			20											20		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			10											10		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			0											0		
	UNIVERSE			400											400		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measure	es starting in	FY08.													
CR-SP54	Demonstrate a reduction in mean concentration of certain contaminants of concern found in water and fish tissue. (cumulative starting in FY 06)			10%											10%		
	FY 2012 COMMITMENT			Deferred											Deferred		
	FY 2011 END OF YEAR RESULT			92%											92%		
	FY 2011 COMMITMENT			10%											10%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			5 sites											5 sites		
	National Program Manager Comments	Chlorpyrifos	and Azinpho	os methyl; Oregor	ommitment deferr i: North Fork Deep eline, see http://wv	Creek (Cla	ckamas Sub-	basin) for C	hlorpyrifos;	Washington:	Walla Walla						